arXiv:1210.6055v1 [math.PR] 22 Oct 2012

ON MARKOV PROCESSES WITH POLYNOMIALS CONDITIONAL MOMENTS

PAWEŁ J. SZABŁOWSKI

Abstract. We study properties of a subclass of Markov processes that have all moments that are continuous functions of the time parameter and more importantly are characterized by the property that say their $n-$th conditional moment given the past is also a polynomials of order not exceeding n. Of course all processes with independent increments with all moments belong to this class. We give characterization of them within the studied class. We indicate other examples of such process. Besides we give conditions for the existence of the families of polynomials that have the property of constituting martingales. We also study conditions under which processes from this class are harnesses or quadratic harnesses. We provide examples illustrating developed theory and also provide some interesting open questions. To make paper interesting for a wider range of readers we provide short introduction formulated in the language of measures on the plane.

1. Introduction

The results we are presenting in this paper can be interpreted also from the analytical point of view. They concern Markov processes and probability measures. But from the analytical point of view Markov process it is nothing else but the two sets of measures. One say $\mu(.,t)$ indexed by some index set $I\ni t$, usually subset of real line and the other $\eta(.,t;y;s)$ by the points of the product $(t,y,s)\in I\times\operatorname{supp}(\mu(.,s))\times I$. Both measures are assumed to be probabilistic i.e. they are nonnegative and normalized by 1 and satisfy certain regularity conditions of which the most important is the so called Chapman–Kolmogorov condition that states that

$$\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\mu(.,t))}\eta(.,u;y,t)\eta(dy,t;z,s)=\eta(.,u;z,s),$$

for all $s<t<u$. There is also other condition that relates these two sets of measures. Namely

$$\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\mu(.,t))}\eta(.,t;y,s)\mu(dy,s)=\mu(.,t).$$

All conditions assumed as well as all the results of this paper can be expressed in terms of these measures. For example conditions imposed on these measures that

---

Date: July 2012.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60J25, 60G44; Secondary 60G99, 35C47.

Key words and phrases. polynomial regression, martingales, Markov processes, harnesses, qudratic harnesses, orthogonal polynomials, Cholesky decomposition, expansion of Radon-Nikodym derivative of one measure with respect to the other,.

define a subclass of interesting for us measures can be easily expressed with the help of μ and η in the following way:

$$\forall n>0:\int x^{2n}\mu\left(dx,t\right)<\infty,\ \int x^{n}\eta(dx,t;y,s)=Q_{n}(y,s,t),$$

where Q_n denotes certain polynomial in y of order not exceeding n. Finally we assume that for every $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$ function

$$\int\int\left\vert x\right\vert ^{n}\left\vert y\right\vert ^{m}\eta(dx,t;y,s)\mu(dy,s)$$

is a continuous function of s and t at least on the diagonal $s=t$.

Similarly the for the results. The problems that we are going to solve in this paper are the following:

1. Is it possible to find a linear combination of monomials x^i; $i=0,\ldots,n$ i.e. to find a polynomial p_n such that $\int p_n(x;t)\eta(dx,t;y,s)=p_n(y;s)$ for all $s<t$. *Existence of polynomial martingales* in the probabilistic language.

2. Under what conditions $\int(x-y)^n\eta(dx,t,y,s)$ does not depend on y for any natural n. *Independence of increments* in the probabilistic language.

3. When polynomials defined in point 1. are orthogonal i.e. $\int p_n(x;t)p_m(x;t)\mu(dx,t)$ $=0$ for $n\neq m$. *Existence of orthogonal polynomials martingales.*

4. When $\int\int\int x\eta(dx,t;y,s)g(y,z)\eta(dz,u;x,t)\mu(dy,s)=\int\int L(y,z,s,u)\eta(dz,u;y,s)\mu(dy,s)$, where L is a linear function of y and z, and $g(y,z)$ is any bounded measurable function of y and z. *Harness property* in the probabilistic terminology.

5. When $\int\int\int x^2\eta(dx,t;y,s)g(y,z)\eta(dz,u;x,t)\mu(dy,s)=\int\int Q_2(y,z,s,u)\eta(dz,u;y,s)\mu(dy,s)$, where Q_2 is a quadratic function of y and z for all $s<t<u$. *Quadratic harness property* in probabilistic terminology.

We prefer however traditional probabilistic notation as more intuitive.

Hence we study a subclass of one dimensional Markov processes $\mathbf{X}=(X_t)_{t\in I}$ defined on finite or infinite segment that has the property that all its conditional moments of say order n are polynomials of order not exceeding n. Poisson, Wiener, Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes or more generally $q-$Wiener and $(\alpha,q)-$OU processes (described for example in more detail in [16] and briefly in Example 3, below) are the examples of such processes.

To be more specific let us assume the following:

Let $\mathbf{X}=(X_t)_{t\in I}$ be real stochastic process defined on some probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ where $I=[l,u]$ is some finite or infinite segment of a real line. Cases $l=-\infty$ or $u=\infty$ are allowed. Let us also assume that for $\forall t\in I:\operatorname{supp}X_t$ contains infinite number of points and that $\forall n\in\mathbb{N},\,t\in\mathbb{R}:\,E\left\vert X_t\right\vert^n<\infty$.

Let us denote also $\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}=\sigma(X_r:r\in[a,s])$, $\mathcal{F}_{\geq s}=\sigma(X_r:r\in[s,b])$ and $\mathcal{F}_{s,u}=\sigma\left(X_r:r\notin(s,u),r\in I\right)$.

Moreover let us assume that $\exists N\forall 0<n\leq N;\,s\neq t\in I$ matrix $[\operatorname{cov}(X_t^i,X_s^j)]_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}$ is non-singular. Processes satisfying this assumptions will be called totally linearly independent of order N (briefly $N-$TLI).

We will also assume that $\exists N:EX_t^mX_s^j$ are a continuous function of $t,s\in I$ at least for $s=t$ where $m,j\leq N$. Such processes will be called mean-square continuous of order N (briefly $N-$MSC).

Let us remark that sequence of independent random variables indexed by some discrete linearly ordered set are not TLI.

By $L_2(t)$ let us denote space spanned by real functions square integrable with respect to one-dimensional distribution of X_t. By our assumptions in $L_2(t)$ there exists set of orthogonal polynomials that constitute base of this space.

Thus the class of Markov processes that we will consider is a class of stochastic processes that are $N-$TLI and $N-$MSC and moreover satisfying the following condition:

$$\exists N \forall N \geq n \geq 1, s \leq t : E(X_t^n | \mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = Q_n(X_s, s, t), \tag{1.1}$$

where $Q_n(x,s,t)$ is a polynomial of order not exceeding n in x. We will call this class of processes Markov processes with polynomial regression of order N (briefly $N-$MPR process). If N can be taken ∞ then we will talk of simply MPR processes. More precisely we should call this class $N-$rMPR class i.e. right Markov processes with polynomial regression. However until we will consider left (with obvious meaning class of Markov processes) we will use the name MPR class.

Since conditional expectation of every polynomial $Q_n(X_t;t)$ (with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}$) is a polynomial $\hat{Q}_n(X_s;s,t)$ of the same order there is a natural question if one can select a polynomial $p_n(x;t)$ in such a way that $E(p_n(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = p_n(X_s;s)$ i.e. that $(p_n(X;t), \mathcal{F}_{\leq t})$ is a martingale. One can also pose another natural question when $E(X_t - X_s)^j | \mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ is non random which would lead to the property of having independent increments.

We answer these questions in the next Section 2.

The main core of the paper are the two Sections 3 and 4 where we study a subclasses of the MPR class for which there exist the sequence of polynomial martingales that are also orthogonal with respect to one dimensional marginal probability measure. More precisely we will assume that there exist a sequence of polynomials $\{p_n(x;t)\}_{n\geq 0}$ orthogonal with respect to the distribution of X_t and such that $(p_n(X;t), \mathcal{F}_{\leq t})$ is a martingale (for every n). Such processes will be called processes with orthogonal polynomial martingales (OPM-class). Under some mild regularity conditions we are able to expand Radon–Nikodym derivative of conditional distribution (i.e. transitional distribution) with respect to a marginal distribution in a Fourier series in polynomials $\{p_n(x;t)\}$. Following this expansion we see that processes of the OPM class satisfying those regularity conditions are completely characterized by their marginal distributions. Hence these processes form a nice regular class that in our opinion is worth to study in detail. As a result we are able to characterize harnesses and further quadratic harnesses within OPM-class. Our nicest result (Theorem 3) concerns necessary and sufficient conditions for OPM process to be a harness.

Open problem are collected in Section 5. Uninteresting, long proofs are collected in Section 6.

2. Markov Processes with polynomial regression

Let us assume that $Q_n(x,s,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \gamma_{k,n}(s,t)x^k$. Further let us define sequence of lower-triangular matrices $\mathcal{A}_n(s,t) = \left[\gamma_{i,j}(s,t)\right]_{i,j=0,\ldots n}$ and let us denote: $X_t^{(n)}$ $= [1, X_t, X_t^2 \ldots, X_t^n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $m_n(t) = EX_t^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{m}_n(t) = [1, m_1(t), \ldots, m_n(t)]^T =$ $EX_t^{(n)}$. Using this notation notice that (1.1) can be written as:

$$E(X_t^{(n)} | \mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \mathcal{A}_n(s,t) X_s^{(n)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Taking expectation of both sides of (2.1) results in equality: $\mathbf{m}_n(t) = \mathcal{A}_n(s,t)\mathbf{m}_n(s)$. Let us also define two akin matrices namely $\mathbf{M}_n(t) = [m_{i+j}(t)]_{i,j=0,\ldots,n}$ and $\mathbf{C}_n(s,t)$ $= [EX_t^iX_s^j]_{i,j=0,\ldots,n}$. Notice that these matrices have the following probabilistic interpretation: $\mathbf{M}_n(t) = EX_t^{(n)}(X_t^{(n)})^T$, $\mathbf{C}_n(s,t) = EX_t^{(n)}(X_s^{(n)})^T$. Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $\left(X_s^{(n)}\right)^T$ and taking expectation results in the following equation:

$$\mathbf{C}_n(t,s) = \mathcal{A}_n(s,t)\mathbf{M}_n(s). \tag{2.2}$$

Let us also introduce the following variance covariance matrices:

$$\Sigma_n(s,t) = E(X_t^{(n)} - m_n(t))(X_s^{(n)} - m_n(s))^T = \mathbf{C}_n(s,t) - \mathbf{m}_n(t)\mathbf{m}_n^T(s).$$

Let subtract from both sides (2.1) equality $\mathbf{m}_n(t) = \mathcal{A}_n(s,t)\mathbf{m}_n(s)$ and then let us multiply from the right both sides of so obtained equality by $(X_s^{(n)} - m_n(s))^T$. Finally let us take expectation of both sides. We will get then:

$$\Sigma_n(s,t) = \mathcal{A}_n(s,t)\Sigma_n(s,s). \tag{2.3}$$

Let us remark that although relationship (2.3) has nicer intuitive meaning it is less informative since $(1,1)$ entries of matrices $\Sigma_n(s,t)$ are equal to zero.

We have the following simple proposition.

Proposition 1. *For TLI, MSC and MPR Markov process of order N for $s \leq t$ i) matrix $\mathcal{A}_n(s,t)$ is non-singular for $n = 1,\ldots,N$.*

ii) $\mathcal{A}_n(s,u) = \mathcal{A}_n(s,t)\mathcal{A}_n(t,u)$ and $\mathcal{A}_n(s,s) = I-$identity matrix.

iii) There exists a family of non-singular, lower-triangular, $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrices $V_n(t)$, such that for all $s \leq u$

$$\mathcal{A}_n(s,u) = V_n^{-1}(s)V_n(u). \tag{2.4}$$

iv) Diagonal entries of matrices $V_n(s)$ are positive.

Proof. Proof is shifted to Section 6. □

Definition 1. *Matrices $V_N(t)$ is called structural matrix of of the $N-$MPR process.*

Corollary 1. *For $n = 1,\ldots,N$ matrix $V_n(s)\mathbf{\Sigma}_n(t,s)V_n^T(t)$ is symmetric and matrix $\mathbf{C}_n(t,s)\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}(s)$ is lower-triangular.*

Proof. Combining (4), (2.4) and the fact that matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}_n(s)$ is symmetric we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Sigma}_n(s) &= V_n^{-1}(t)V_n(s)\Sigma_n(t,s)\\ &= \mathbf{\Sigma}_n^T(s) = \Sigma_n^T(t,s)V_n^T(s)\left(V_n^{-1}(t)\right)^T\end{aligned}$$

from which follows our assertion. Similarly multiplying both sides of (4) by $\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}(s)$ we see that $C_n(t,s)M_n^{-1}(s)$ is equal to $\mathcal{A}_n(s,u)$ which is lower-triangular. □

We have the following observation:

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{X}$ be $N-TLI$, $N-MSC$ and $N-MPR$ process with structural matrix $V_N(t)$. There exist N (polynomial) martingales $(\mathcal{M}_i(s),\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})_{s\in I}$, $i = 1,\ldots,N$, such that each $\mathcal{M}_i(t)$ is a polynomial in X_t of order at most i if and only if there exit family of lower-triangular, non-singular matrices $D_N(t)$, $t \in I$ such that*

$$V_N(s)D_N(t) = V_N(t)D_N(s). \tag{2.5}$$

for all s, $t \in I$. If additionally all diagonal entries of matrix $V_N(t)$ are different then $D_N(t) = V_N(t)$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Is shifted to Section 6. ☐

Corollary 2. *If* $(\mathcal{M}_i(s), \mathcal{F}_{\leq s})_{s\in I}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ *are polynomial martingales of some process* $N-$*TLI,* $N-$*MSC and* $N-$*MPR* $\mathbf{X}$ *then for every lower-triangular* $n \times n$ *matrix* $F_n = [f_{i,j}]_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}$, $(\sum_{k=j}^{n} f_{j,k}\mathcal{M}_{n-k}(s), \mathcal{F}_{\leq s})_{s\in I}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ *are also martingales.*

Corollary 3. *For a* $N-$*TLI,* $N-$*MSC and* $N-$*MPR Markov process for which condition (2.5) is satisfied and additionally if all diagonal entries of matrix* V_N *are different then there exist a* $N \times N$ *lower-triangular matrix* $F_N = [f_{i,j}]_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}$ *with independent on* t *entries such that* $(v_{j,j}(t)\sum_{k=1}^{j} f_{j,k}X_t^k, \mathcal{F}_{\leq t})$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ *are martingales, where* $v_{jj}(t)$ *are diagonal elements of matrix* $V_N(t)$.

Proof. The assertion follows the fact that commuting matrices have common eigenvectors. Secondly notice that eigenvalues of matrix V_N are equal to its diagonal elements, consequently since these values are all different matrix V_N are diagonalizable that is can be decomposed as $V_N(t) = F_N.diag[v_{1,1}(t), \ldots, v_{N,N}(t)].F_N^{-1}$, where F_N consist of eigenvectors of $V_N(t)$. Since condition (2.5) implies that $V_N(t)$ and $V_N(s)$ commute hence have the same eigenvectors. Consequently entries of the matrix F_N do not depend on t. ☐

We have also the following characterization of the processes with independent increments within a the of MPR processes. In fact within the considered class of Markov processes we can slightly generalize the notion of 'independent increment property' and introduce the notion of independent increment property of order N if for all $0 < n \leq N$: $E((X_t - X_s)^n|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ is non-random i.e. is a.s. surely a constant.

Proposition 2. *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *be a* $N-$*TLI,* $N-$*MSC and* $N-$*MPR. Then* $E((X_t - E(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}))^j|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ *does not depend on* X_s *for* $j = 1, \ldots, N$ *iff for every* $N \geq n > 0$ *and* $t > s$:

$$E(X_t^n|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}\gamma_{1,1}^j(s,t)X_s^j\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\binom{n-j}{k}\gamma_{n-j-k,0}(s,t)\gamma_{1,0}^k(s,t).$$

Proof. By our assumptions we have : $E(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \gamma_{1,1}(s,t)X_s + \gamma_{1,0}(s,t)$. Further $E(X_t - E(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}))^n|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ being a polynomial in X_s by our assumptions is a constant polynomial. Conversely if this property holds for all $n \leq N$ then $X_t - E(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ is independent on $\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}$ of order N. Hence let us $(E(X_t - E(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}))^n|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ denote $\gamma_{n,0}(s,t)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_t^n|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) &= E((X_t - E(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) + \gamma_{1,1}X_s + \gamma_{1,0})^n|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \\ \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}\gamma_{n-j,0}(\gamma_{1,1}X_s + \gamma_{1,0})^j &= \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}\gamma_{n-j,0}\sum_{k=0}^{j}\binom{j}{k}\gamma_{1,1}^kX_s^k\gamma_{1,0}^{j-k} = \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\gamma_{1,1}^kX_s^k\sum_{j=k}^{n}\binom{n-k}{j-k}\gamma_{n-j,0}\gamma_{1,0}^{j-k} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\gamma_{1,1}^kX_s^k\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\binom{n-k}{m}\gamma_{n-m-k,0}\gamma_{1,0}^m. \end{aligned}$$

☐

As a corollary we get the following characterization of processes with independent increments.

Corollary 4. *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *be a TLI, MSC and* $N-$*MPR. Then for* $X_t - X_s$ *to be independent of* $\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}$ *of order* N *it is necessary and sufficient that for every* $N \geq n > 0$ *and* $t > s$ *structural matrices* $V_n(t) = [v_{i,j}(t)]$ *where*

$$v_{i,j}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad i < j \\ 1 & if \quad i = j \\ \binom{i}{j} g_{i-j}(t) & if \quad i > j \end{cases}.$$

where g_k *is some continuous function of* t*. Moreover there exist* N *polynomial martingales* $\left(V_n^{-1}(t) X_t^{(n)}, \mathcal{F}_{\leq t}\right)_{t\in I}$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$.

Proof. Is shifted to Section 6. □

Example 1. *As an example consider* $n = 1$ *and assume that the process* $\mathbf{X}$ *is such that* $\forall t \in I : EX_t = 0$ *i.e. in our terminology* $m_1(t) = 0$ *for all* $t \in I$*. Then from (2.4) considered for* $n = 1$ *we get* $\mathcal{A}_1(t, s) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{1,1}(t, s) \end{bmatrix}$*. Since* $\mathbf{C}_1(s, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & EX_t X_s \end{bmatrix}$ *and* $\mathbf{M}_1(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & EX_s^2 \end{bmatrix}$*. Moreover we know from Proposition 1, iii) that* $\gamma_{1,1}(s, t) = \frac{v(t)}{v(s)}$ *for some function* v*. Thus we see that then* $(X_t/v(t), \mathcal{F}_{\leq t})_{t\in I}$ *is a martingale.*

Example 2. *Now let us assume that process* $\mathbf{X}$ *is stationary in wider sense of order* N *i.e. we will assume that* $\forall t \in I : EX_t^{j+k} = m_{j+k}$, $\operatorname{cov}(X_t^j, X_s^k) = c_{j,k}(|s - t|)$ *for all* $j, k = 0, \ldots, N$ *, where* $c_{j,k}$ *are some functions of one variable only. Following arguments used in the theory of (weakly) stationary processes one can easily prove that functions* $c_{j,j}(.)$ *must be positive definite. (4) now takes the form*

$$[c_{j,k}(t - s)]_{j,k=0,\ldots,n} = \mathcal{A}_n(s, t)\mathbf{M}_n,$$

from which it follows that $\mathcal{A}_n(s, t) = A_n(t - s)$ *which confronted with Proposition 1,iii) leads to the conclusion that*

$$A_n(t - s) = \exp((t - s)\mathbf{A}_n)$$

for some lower-triangular $n \times n$ *matrix* $\mathbf{A}_n$*. It is easy to notice that* $A_n(\tau_1)A_n(\tau_2) = A_n(\tau_2)A_n(\tau_1)$ *hence there exist* N *(polynomial) martingales* $(\mathbf{T}_n(t), \mathcal{F}_{\leq t})$*, where* $\mathbf{T}_n(t) = \exp(-\mathbf{A}_n t)X_t^{(n)}$, $n \leq N$.

Example 3 ($q-$Wiener and $(\alpha, q)-$OU processes)**.** *Let us consider now two related processes that are generalizations of Wiener (or Brownian motion) and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. They were first defined and described in* [2] *as a side result of some noncommutative probability considerations. In* [3], [4] *the discrete version of* $(\alpha, q)-OU$ *process appeared and in* [8] $q-$ *Wiener process appeared again as a side result of considerations concerning quadratic harnesses. More recently in more detail these processes were described in* [16]*. To describe their properties briefly and also to illustrate assertions of Theorem 1 we must recall some facts concerning* $q-$*Hermite and Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials and densities with respect to which they are orthogonal. To do it swiftly let us introduce the following notation.*

Assume that $-1 < q \leq 1$*. We will use traditional notation of the* $q-$*series theory i.e.* $[0]_q = 0$, $[n]_q = 1 + q + \ldots + q^{n-1}$, $[n]_q! = \prod_{i=1}^n [i]_q$ *, with* $[0]_q! = 1$, $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q![k]_q!} & , \quad n \geq k \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \quad otherwise \end{cases}$.

It will be also helpful to use the so called $q-$*Pochhammer symbol defined for* $n \geq 1$ *by:* $(a;q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1-aq^i\right)$ *, with* $(a;q)_0 = 1$, $(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k;q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^{k}(a_i;q)_n$.

Often $(a;q)_n$ *as well as* $(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k;q)_n$ *will be abbreviated to* $(a)_n$ *and* $(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k)_n$ *, if it will not cause misunderstanding.*

In particular it is easy to notice that $(q)_n = (1-q)^n [n]_q!$ *and that*
$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{n-k}(q)_k} & , \quad n \geq k \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \quad otherwise \end{cases}$.
Let us remark that $[n]_1 = n, [n]_1! = n!$, $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 = \binom{n}{k}$, $(a;1)_n = (1-a)^n$ *and* $[n]_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad n \geq 1 \\ 0 & if \quad n = 0 \end{cases}$, $[n]_0! = 1$, $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_0 = 1$, $(a;0)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad n = 0 \\ 1-a & if \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases}$ *. Now let us introduce the* $q-$*Hermite* $\{H_n(x|q)\}_{n\geq -1}$ *polynomials. They satisfy the following* $3-$*term recurrence*

$$H_{n+1}(x|q) = xH_n(x|q) - [n]_q H_{n-1}(x), \tag{2.6}$$

with $H_{-1}(x|q) = 0$, $H_1(x|q) = 1$. *Let us also introduce the so called Al-Salam–Chihara* $\{P_n\}_{n\geq -1}$ *polynomials. Those are polynomials satisfy the following* $3-$*term recurrence:*

$$P_{n+1}(x|y,\rho,q) = (x-\rho y q^n)P_n(x|y,\rho,q) - (1-\rho^2 q^{n-1})[n]_q P_{n-1}(x|y,\rho,q), \tag{2.7}$$

with $P_{-1}(x|y,\rho,q) = 0$, $P_0(x|y,\rho,q) = 1$. *The polynomials* $\{P_n\}$ *have nice probabilistic interpretation see e.g.* [**?**].

Let us only remark supporting intuition that $H_n(x|1) = He_n(x)$, *where* He_n *denotes so called 'probabilistic' Hermite polynomial i.e. one orthogonal with respect to measure* $\exp(-x^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi}$. *Similarly* $P_n(x|y,\rho,1) = He_n(\frac{x-\rho y}{\sqrt{1-\rho_2}})(1-\rho^2)^{n/2}$.

It is also known that polynomials H_n *and* P_n *are respectively orthogonal with respect to the following measures with the densities:*

$$\begin{aligned} f_N(x|q) &= \frac{\sqrt{1-q}\,(q)_\infty}{2\pi\sqrt{4-(1-q)x^2}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\left((1+q^k)^2 - (1-q)x^2q^k\right) I_{S(q)}(x), \\ f_{CN}(x|y,\rho,q) &= f_N(x|q) \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\rho^2 q^k)}{w_k(x,y|\rho,q)} I_{S(q)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $S(q) = [-2/\sqrt{1-q}, 2/\sqrt{1-q}]$ *if* $q < 1$ *and* $\mathbb{R}$ *for* $q = 1$, $w_k(x,y|\rho,q) = (1-\rho^2q^{2k})^2 - \rho q^k(1+\rho^2q^{2k})xy + \rho^2q^{2k}(x^2+y^2)$.

Now $(\alpha,q)-OU$ *process it is a stationary Markov process with* $f_N(.|q)$ *as its stationary distribution and* $f_{CN}(.|y,\exp(-\alpha(t-s),q)$ *as its density of transition distribution i.e.* $P(X_t \in A|X_s = y) = \int_{S(q)} f_{CN}(x|y,\exp(-\alpha(t-s),q)dx$.

$q-$ *Wiener process is obtained from* $(\alpha,q)-OU$ *process by time transformation. More precisely let* $\mathbf{Y}$ *be given* $(\alpha,q)-OU$ *process. Let us define:*

$$X_0 = 0;\ \forall\tau > 0 : X_\tau = \sqrt{\tau}Y_{\log\tau/2\alpha}. \tag{2.8}$$

Process $\mathbf{X} = (X_\tau)_{\tau\geq 0}$ *will be called* $q-$ *Wiener process. To see examples of matrices structural matrices* $V_n(t)$ *of these processes that are defined by these processes let us recall some of their properties.*

Let $\mathbf{Y}$ *and* $\mathbf{X}$ *be* $(\alpha,q)-OU$ *and* $q-$*Wiener processes respectively. For* $\forall n\geq 1$, $t,s\in\mathbb{R},$, $\tau>\sigma\geq 0$ *and* $0<q\leq 1$ *we have almost surely:*

$$\begin{aligned} E(H_n(Y_t|q)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) &= e^{-n\alpha(t-s)}H_n(Y_s|q), \\ \tau^{n/2}E(H_n(X_\tau/\sqrt{\tau}|q)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq\sigma}) &= \sigma^{n/2}H_n(X_\sigma/\sqrt{\sigma}|q). \end{aligned}$$

From these two relationships remembering that first 4 $q-$Hermite polynomials have the form: $H_1(x|q)=x$, $H_2(x|q)=x^2-1$, $H_3(x|q)=x^3-(2+q)x$, $H_4(x|q)=x^4-(q^2+2q+3)x^2+[3]_q$ *we get the following structure matrices of these two processes.*

$(\alpha,q)-OU$ *process has the following structural matrix* $V_5(t)=\exp(tA_5)$, *where:*

$$A_5=\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\alpha & 0 & 2\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2(2+q)\alpha & 0 & 3\alpha & 0 \\ -2(1-q^2)\alpha & 0 & -2(3+2q+q^2)\alpha & 0 & 4\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that for $q=1$ *we obtain the structural matrix of the ordinary Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. Besides in accordance with Theorem 1 we must have commutation of structural matrices for* $n>0$, *since for all* n $(e^{n\alpha t}H_n(Y_t|q),\mathcal{F}_{\leq t})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *is the martingale and diagonal elements of structural matrix (equal to* $\exp(nt\alpha)$*) are all different.*

For the $q-$*Wiener process similar property will not be true.* $V_5(t|q)$ *is given by the following formula:*

$$V_5(t|q)=\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (2+q)t & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ (3+q)t^2 & 0 & (3+2q+q^2)t & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

By setting $q=1$ *we get structural matrix of classical Brownian motion which has independent increments*

Remark 1. *Notice that one cannot deduce that for the process as the one analyzed in last example there exists a reversed martingale. We could deduce this if the stationarity assumption would hold for all n. Then since we deal with the distributions determined by moments we would deduce that transitional distribution depends on the time distance and one dimensional distribution does not depend on t. Hence we deal with real (strong) stationarity.*

3. Markov processes with orthogonal martingales

Now let us assume that our process $\mathbf{X}$ is TLI, MSC, MPR and there exist a family of polynomial martingales that additionally are orthogonal with respect to one dimensional distribution. More precisely let us assume that $\forall t\in I$ there exist a family of polynomials $\{p_n(.;t)\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that $p_n(x;t)$ is a polynomial of order n in x and moreover that

$$Ep_n(X_t;t)p_m(X_t;t) = \hat{p}_n(t)\delta_{n,m}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$E(p_n(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = p_n(X_s;s)\ a.s. \tag{3.2}$$

Recall that such process possessing sequence of orthogonal, polynomial martingales i.e. TLI, MSC, MPR processes such that conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied will be called for brevity OPM class (of Markov processes).

To express these conditions in terms of the notions that we have introduced above let us assume that our process has family of structural matrices $\{V_n(t)\}_{n\geq 1, t\in I}$. We have the following simple observation:

Proposition 3. *Assuming that* $\mathbf{X}$ *is OPM process with structural matrix* $\{V_n(t)\}_{n\geq 1, t\in I}$. *A necessary and sufficient for the existence of the family of orthogonal polynomial martingales* $\{p_n(.;t)\}_{n\geq 0}$ *is the existence of a family of diagonal matrices* $J_n(t)$ *such that* $\forall s,t\in I,\ n\geq 1:$

$$V_n(t)D_n(s)J_n(t) = V_n(s)D_n(t)J_n(s) \tag{3.3}$$

where $V_n(t)$ *denotes structural matrix of the process and matrix* $D_n(t)$ *is defined by the Cholesky decomposition of the moment matrix i.e. by* $\mathbf{M}_n(t) = D_n(t)D_n^T(t)$.

Example 4. *To see examples of such processes let us recall the example with strongly stationary processes, i.e. Example 2. As we know* $V_n(t) = \exp(tA_n)$ *for some lower-triangular matrix* A_n. *Since matrices* $V_n(t)$ *and* A_n *share the same eigenvectors we deduce that matrix* $V_n(t)$ *can be decomposed in the following way:* $V_n(t) = G_n\mathbf{P}_n(t)G_n^{-1}$ *for some lower-triangular matrix* G_n *and a diagonal matrix* $\mathbf{P}_n$. *Now since our process is assumed to be stationary its one-dimensional distribution does not depend on* t *and consequently moment matrix does not depend on* t *so matrices* D_n *in its Cholesky decomposition does not depend on* t. *Consequently condition (3.3) can be reduced to the existence of the family of diagonal matrices* $J_n(t)$ *satisfying:*

$$G_n\mathbf{P}_n(t)G_n^{-1}D_nJ_n(t) = G_n\mathbf{P}_n(s)G_n^{-1}D_nJ_n(s).$$

One of the possible necessary conditions for the above equality to hold is the condition that matrix $G_n^{-1}D_n$ *is diagonal. Then one could select matrix* $J_n(t) = \mathbf{P}_n^{-1}(t)$. *One can notice that* $(\alpha,q)-OU$ *process analyzed in Example 3 is an example of Markov process having orthogonal polynomial martingales.*

Hence let us concentrate on OMP process for which there exist a family of orthogonal polynomial martingales $p_n(X_t;t)$ i.e. for every n and $s<t$ conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied. To proceed further let us assume that both one-dimensional and transitional distributions of our process have the same support and moreover transitional distribution is absolutely continuous with respect to the marginal one.

Returning to notation from the beginning of the paper let $\mu(.,t),\ t\in I$ denote one-dimensional distribution and let $\eta(.,t;y,s),\ t,s\in I,\ t>s,\ y\in \operatorname{supp}(\mu(.,s))$. In terms of polynomials p_n we have for $\forall n\geq 1,\ s<t$

$$\begin{aligned}\int p_n(x;t)\mu(dx,t)dt &= 0,\\ \int p_n(x;t)\eta(dx,t,y,s)dt &= p_n(y;s)\ \text{ a.s.}\end{aligned}$$

Let us also introduce functions $\hat{p}_n(t)$ defined

$$Ep_n^2(X_t;t) = \hat{p}_n(t).$$

Recalling theory of martingales we see that functions $\hat{p}_n(t)$ are the so called square brackets of martingales $p_n(X_t;t)$. We need only one property of them. namely that functions $\hat{p}_n(t)$ are non-decreasing and of course positive.

Further, unless otherwise stated we will assume the following condition to be satisfied by these densities:

Condition 1. *Assume that* $\forall t,s\in I,\ t>s,\ y\in\operatorname{supp}\mu(.,s):\eta(.,t,y,s)<<\mu(.,t)$ *and let* $\phi(x,t;y,s)$ *denote Radon–Nikodym derivative* $\frac{d\eta}{d\mu}$*. Suppose*

$$\int(\phi(x,t;y,s))^2\mu(dx,t)<\infty,$$

for all $s<t$ *and* $y\in\operatorname{supp}f(.,s)$*.*

Theorem 2. *Assume Condition 1, then:*

$$\eta(dx,t,y,s)=\mu(dx,t)\sum_{n\geq0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_n(t)}p_n(x,t)p_n(y,s). \tag{3.4}$$

The convergence is in $L_2(t)$*.*

Proof. First of all let us denote by $\{r_n(x,t,y,s)\}_{n\geq-1}$ the family of polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to the measure $\eta(.,t;y,s)$. Let us denote by $\{\gamma_{k,n}\}$ the family of 'connection coefficients' of polynomials $\{p_n(x,t)\}$ in $\{r_n(x,t,y,s\}$, i.e.

$$p_n(x,t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\gamma_{k,n}r_k(x,t,y,s).$$

Since we have $\forall n\geq1:\int r_n(x,t,y,s)\eta(dx,t,y,s)=0$ and $\int p_n(x,t)\eta(dx,t,y,s)=p_n(y,s)$ we deduce that $\gamma_{0,n}=a_n(y,s)$. Hence following the idea of generalization of expansion of the ratio of two densities (Radon–Nikodym derivative in the case of continuous measures) presented in [15] we deduce that (3.4) is true. ☐

We have immediate corollary.

Corollary 5. *Under assumptions of Theorem 2 for* $n\geq1,s<t$ *we have :*

$$E(p_n(X_s;s)|\mathcal{F}_{\geq t})=\frac{\hat{p}_n(s)}{\hat{p}_n(t)}p_n(X_t;t).$$

Consequently $\{p_n(X_t;t)/\hat{p}_n(t);\mathcal{F}_{\geq t}\}_{t\in I}$ *is the reversed (polynomial) martingale.*

Proof. First notice that $f(y,s)g(x,t,y,s)$ is a joint density (X_s,X_t) consequently $f(y,s)\sum_{n\geq0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_n(t)}p_n(x,t)p_n(y,s)$ is the conditional density of $X_s|X_t=x$. Hence we have $E(p_m(X_s;s)|\mathcal{F}_{\geq t})=\int p_m(y;s)f(y,s)(\sum_{n\geq0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_n(t)}p_n(x,t)p_n(y,s))dy=\frac{\hat{p}_m(s)}{\hat{p}_m(t)}p_m(X_t;t)$ a.s. . ☐

Let us assume that polynomials $\{p_n(x;t)\}_{n\geq-1}$ satisfy the following 3-term recurrence:

$$xp_n(x;t)=\alpha_{n+1}(t)p_{n+1}(x;t)+\beta_n(t)p_n(x;t)+\gamma_{n-1}(t)p_{n-1}(x;t), \tag{3.5}$$

with $p_{-1}(x;t)=0$, $p_0(x;t)=1$. We have the following immediate observations:

Proposition 4. *i)* $x=\alpha_1(t)p_1(x;t)+\beta_0(t)$, *hence* $EX_t=\beta_0(t)$, $p_1(x;t)=(x-\beta_0(t))/\alpha_1(t)$

ii) $EX_t^2=\alpha_1^2(t)\hat{p}(t)+\beta_0^2(t)$, *consequently* $\operatorname{var}(X_t)=\alpha_1^2(t)\hat{p}_1(t)$.

iii) $\gamma_{n-1}(t)\hat{p}_{n-1}(t)=\alpha_n(t)\hat{p}_n(t)$ *in particular* $\gamma_0(t)=\alpha_1(t)\hat{p}(t)$. *Since* $\forall t\in I,\ n\geq 1:\hat{p}_n(t)>0$ *we deduce that* $\forall t\in I,\ n\geq 1:\alpha_n(t)\gamma_{n-1}(t)>0$.

iv) $xp_1(x;t)=\alpha_2(t)p_2(x;t)+\beta_1(t)p_1(x;t)+\gamma_0(t)$ *hence* $E(X_tp_1(X_t;t)=\gamma_0(t)$, $p_2(x;t)=\frac{(x-\beta_0(t))(x-\beta_1(t))-\gamma_0(t)\alpha_1(t)}{\alpha_1(t)\alpha_2(t)}$,

v) $\alpha_1(t)p_1^2(x;t)=\alpha_2(t)p_2(x;t)+(\beta_1(t)-\beta_0(t))p_1(x;t)+\gamma_0(t)$

Proof. i) follows directly (3.5) and initial conditions. ii) take square of both sides of $p_1(x;t)=(x-\beta_0(t))/\alpha_1(t)$ and then definition of $\hat{p}$. iii) multiply both sides of (3.5) by $p_{n-1}(t)$ and integrate with respect to $f(x,t)dx$. Secondly we note that $\hat{p}_0(t)=1$. v) This is so since $xp_1(x;t)=\alpha_2(t)p_2(x;t)+\beta_1(t)p_1(x;t)+\gamma_0(t)=(\alpha_1(t)p_1(t)+\beta_0(t))p_1(x;t)$ by i). ☐

4. HARNESSES

The notion of harness as a special type of the stochastic process was introduced by Hammersley in [12]. Recently it has been so to say rediscovered and is intensively studied by Yor, Bryc, Wesołowski, Matysiak and others in [14], [7], [1], [8], [11]. In this chapter we are going to study conditions that are to be satisfied for that MPR process to be a harness and also quadratic harness.

We will use the extended slightly definition of both these classes in order not to loose generality.

Definition 2. *A Markov process* $\mathbf{X}=(X_t)_{t\in I}$ *such that* $\forall t\in I: E\left|X_t\right|^r<\infty$, $r\in\mathbb{N}$ *is said to be* $r-$*harness if* $\forall s<t<u: E(X_t^r|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})$ *is a polynomial of order* r *in* X_s *and* X_u.

Definition 3. $1-$*harness will be called simply harness while the process that is both* $r-$*harness for* $r=1,2$ *will be called quadratic harness.*

Remark 2. *Let us notice that every* $r-$*harness for* $r=1,\ldots,N$ *which is also* $N-$*TLI and* $N-$*MSC is also both* $N-$*rMPR and* $N-$*lMPR however by no means conversely!.*

First let us study harnesses. Assume that

$$E(p_1(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})=\hat{A}(s,t,u)p_1(X_s;s)+\hat{B}(s,t,u)p_1(X_u;u)+\hat{C}(s,t,u) \tag{4.1}$$

a.s. for some continuous functions of s, t, u. First of all notice that $\hat{C}$ must be equal zero since $Ep_1(X_t;t)=0$ and we get equality $0=0+0+\hat{C}$ after calculating expectation of both sides.

In the sequel we will denote for simplicity $\hat{p}_1(t)=\hat{p}(t)$.

We have the following simple lemma.

Lemma 1. *If an OPM process* $\mathbf{X}=(X_t)_{t\in I}$ *is a harness then:*

$$\hat{A}(s,t,u) = \frac{\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t)}{\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s)}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\hat{B}(s,t,u) = \frac{\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}\left(s\right)}{\hat{p}\left(u\right)-\hat{p}\left(s\right)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Multiplying (4.1) first by $p_1(X_s;s)$ and then by $p_1(X_u;u)$ and integrating we get equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \hat{A}+\hat{B}, \\ \hat{p}(t) &= \hat{A}\hat{p}\left(s\right)+\hat{B}\hat{p}(u). \end{aligned}$$

To get (4.2) and (4.3) is trivial. □

Now let us add assumption that our process has not only orthogonal polynomial martingales but also it satisfies Condition 1. Consequently its transitional density is given by (3.4). We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let* $\mathbf{X}=(X_t)_{t\in I}$ *be a Markov process with orthogonal polynomial martingales* $\left\{p_n(x;t)\right\}_{n\geq -1}$ *and measures* $\mu(dx,t)$ *and* $\eta(dx,t,y,s)$ *being respectively one dimensional and transitional distributions satisfy Condition 1. Then* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a harness iff coefficients of 3-term recurrence (3.5) are given by:*

$$\beta_n(t) = \beta_0(t)+b_n\alpha_1(t)+\hat{b}_n\gamma_0(t), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\alpha_n(t) = a_n\alpha_1(t)+\hat{a}_n\gamma_0(t), \tag{4.5}$$

$$\gamma_n(t) = c_n\alpha_1(t)+\hat{c}_n\gamma_0(t), \tag{4.6}$$

where $\left\{a_n.\hat{a}_n,b_n,\hat{b}_n,c_n,\hat{c}_n\right\}_{n\geq 0}$ *are some number sequences such that* $b_0=\hat{b}_0=\hat{a}_0$ $=a_0=\hat{a}_1=c_0=0$, $a_1=\hat{c}_0=1$ *and* $\forall t\in I$, $n\geq 0:\alpha_n(t)\gamma_{n-1}(t)>0$.

Proof. Lengthy proof is shifted to Section 6. □

Example 5. *If* $\mathbf{X}$ *is the* $(\alpha,q)-OU$ *process we have (basing on Proposition 4)* $p_n(x;t)=\exp(\alpha nt)H_n(x|q)$. *Hence following (2.6) we have* $\alpha_n(t)=\exp(-\alpha t)$, $\gamma_n(t)=[n+1]_q\exp(\alpha t)$, $\hat{p}(t)=\gamma_0(t)/\alpha_1(t)=\exp(2\alpha t)$. *Thus equation (4.5) is satisfied with* $a_n=1$, $\hat{a}_n=0$, *equation (4.6) with* $c_n=0$, $\hat{c}_n=[n+1]_q$ *while equation (4.4) with* $b_n=\hat{b}_n=0$.

Now let us consider $q-$ *Wiener process. Recall that now* $p_n(x;t)=t^{n/2}H_n(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}|q)$. *Hence* $\alpha_n(t)=1$ *for* $n\geq 1$ *and* $\gamma_0(t)=\hat{p}_1(t)=\operatorname{var}(X_t)=t$ *as it follows from Proposition 4. Hence* $\gamma_n(t)=[n+1]_qt=[n+1]_q\gamma_0(t)$ *another words* $c_n=0$, $\hat{c}_n=[n+1]_q$.

We will study now conditions leading to the fact that considered process $\mathbf{X}$ is a quadratic harness i.e. harness and such that $E(X_t^2|\mathcal{F}_{s,t})$ is a quadratic function of X_s and X_u.

In order to simplify calculations first let us find coefficients A, B, C, D, E, F of (depending on $s<t<u$) of the following expansion

$$E(p_2(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})=Ap_2(X_s;s)+Bp_1(X_s;s)p_1(X_u;u)+Cp_2(X_u;u)+Dp_1(X_s;s)+Ep_1(X_u;u)+F. \tag{4.7}$$

Let us denote for simplicity:

$$\kappa=(1+b\hat{b}+\hat{a}c),\lambda=(a\hat{c}-\hat{a}c). \tag{4.8}$$

We have the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let us denote* a, $\hat{a}$, b, $\hat{b}$, c, $\hat{c}$ *six parameters defined by the conditions* $\alpha_2(t)=\alpha(t)(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))$, $\beta_1(t)-\beta_0(t)=\alpha(t)(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(t))$, $\gamma_1(t)=\alpha(t)(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t))$,

$(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t))>0$ for all $t\in I$, where we denoted for simplicity $\alpha(t)=\alpha_1(t)$ guaranteeing that the analyzed process is a harness. Then

$$F=-Bp(s),$$

If $\kappa=\lambda=0$ then

$$A=\frac{p(u)-p(t)}{p(u)-p(s)}-B\frac{\hat{a}c}{\hat{c}},\ C=\frac{p(t)-p(s)}{p(u)-p(s)}-\hat{a}Bp(s),$$

and B can be selected to be any nonzero function of $s<t<u$ and D and E are given by (4.12), below.

If $\kappa^2+\lambda^2>0$, then:

(4.9)
$$B=\frac{a\hat{c}\lambda(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda)+ac\kappa)},$$

(4.10)
$$A=\frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)\hat{p}(t)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(t)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda)+ac\kappa)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda)+ac\kappa)},$$

(4.11)
$$C=\frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(t)\hat{p}(s)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}(\kappa+\lambda)+\hat{p}(t)a\hat{c}\kappa+ac\kappa)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}\kappa+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda)+ac\kappa)}$$

and

$$D=-bB,\ E=-\hat{b}Bp(s). \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. Lengthy uninteresting proof is shifted to Section 6. □

Remark 3. *Notice that following Proposition 4 we have $\hat{p}_2(t)=\hat{p}(t)\frac{a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t)}{c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t)}$. Also as a consequence of Favard's Theorem we must have $(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t))>0$. Further keeping in mind interpretation of $\hat{p}_2(t)$ as 'square bracket' of martingale $p_2(X_t;t)$ we deduce that $\hat{p}_2(t)$ must be non decreasing. If interval I is bounded then the only restrictions on parameters a, $\hat{a}$, c, $\hat{c}$ are*

$$\begin{aligned}(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t)) &> 0,\\ \hat{p}(s)\frac{a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s)}{c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(s)} &< \hat{p}(t)\frac{a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t)}{c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t)},\end{aligned}$$

for all $s,t\in I$ and $s<t$.

Now suppose that I is unbounded. If I is unbounded from the right and supposedly that $\hat{p}(t)$ is bounded. This would mean that martingale $p_1(X_t;t)$ converges to finite limit a.s. and in L_2. Consequently $X_t/\alpha_1(t)$ would have a finite a.s. limit. This is rather uninteresting case from the point of view of stochastic processes theory. Hence let us assume from now on that $\hat{p}(t)$ is unbounded if I is unbounded from the right hand side. Similarly let us assume that $\hat{p}(t)\longrightarrow 0$ as $t\longrightarrow-\infty$ that is if I is unbounded from the left hand side. We have also $\frac{d}{dt}(t\frac{a+\hat{a}t}{c+\hat{c}t})=\frac{\hat{a}\hat{c}t^2+2a\hat{c}t+ac}{(c+\hat{c}t)^2}$. Consequently if I is unbounded from the left hand side we must have $ac\geq 0$ and if I is unbounded from the right hand side we must have $\hat{a}\hat{c}\geq 0$.

If $\hat{a}\hat{c}\neq 0$ then if I is unbounded from the right we must have $\hat{a}\hat{c}>0$ and discriminant, which is equal to $4(a^2\hat{c}^2-a\hat{a}c\hat{c})$ of the numerator must be non-positive *which leads to* $1 \leq \frac{\hat{a}}{a}\frac{c}{\hat{c}}$ *if* $a\hat{c}$ *is non-zero. The last condition also appears in the works of Bryc Wesołowski and Matysiak like e.g.* [8] *(see also Corollary 7 below).*

We have also the following corollary concerning particular cases:

Corollary 6. *i) If* $\kappa = 0$ *and* $\lambda \neq 0$ *then we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} B &= -\frac{(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))\hat{p}(s)}, \\ A &= \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))\hat{p}(t)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))\hat{p}(s)}, \\ C &= \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))}. \end{aligned}$$

ii)If $\kappa \neq 0$ *and* $\lambda = 0$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned} B &= 0, \\ A &= \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)\hat{p}(t)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}+\hat{p}(t)a\hat{c}+ac)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}+ac)} = \frac{(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))}{(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))}, \\ C &= \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(t)\hat{p}(s)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}+\hat{p}(t)a\hat{c}+ac)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}+ac)} = \frac{(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))}{(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))}. \end{aligned}$$

iii) Otherwise if $\kappa \neq 0$ *and* $\lambda \neq 0$ *then after dividing both denominators and denumerators by* κ *we get:*

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{a\hat{c}\frac{\lambda}{\kappa}(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+ac)}, \\ A &= \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)\hat{p}(t)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}+\hat{p}(t)a\hat{c}\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+ac)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+ac)}, \\ C &= \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(t)\hat{p}(s)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+\hat{p}(t)a\hat{c}+ac)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}+\hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}+\hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+ac)} \end{aligned}$$

If $a\hat{c} \neq 0$ *then one can simplify these expressions further by dividing both denominators and denumerators by* $a\hat{c}$ *and we get:*

$$B = \frac{\frac{\lambda}{\kappa}(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\frac{\hat{a}}{a}+\hat{p}(u)+\hat{p}(s)\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+\frac{c}{\hat{c}})}, \tag{4.13}$$

$$A = \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)\hat{p}(t)\frac{\hat{a}}{a}+\hat{p}(u)+\hat{p}(t)\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+\frac{c}{\hat{c}})}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\frac{\hat{a}}{a}+\hat{p}(u)+\hat{p}(s)\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa})+\frac{c}{\hat{c}})}, \tag{4.14}$$

$$C = \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(t)\hat{p}(s)\frac{\hat{a}}{a}+\hat{p}(s)\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+\hat{p}(t)+\frac{c}{\hat{c}})}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\frac{\hat{a}}{a}+\hat{p}(u)+\hat{p}(s)\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}+\frac{c}{\hat{c}})} \tag{4.15}$$

Corollary 7. *In* [8] *Bryc et al. considered Markov processes* $\mathbf{X} = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *that start from zero at zero, are defined on* $[0,\infty)$*. Moreover they satisfy the following normalizing conditions: 1)* $EX_t = 0$*, 2)* $\operatorname{var}(X_t) = t$, $\operatorname{cov}(X_t, X_s) = \min(s,t)$*, 3)* $E(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = X_s$ *and* $E(X_t^2|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = X_s^2 + t - s$*. for all* $s \leq t$*. From these assumptions we deduce that* $p_1(x;t) = x$, $\hat{p}(t) = \hat{p}_1(t) = t$, $\alpha_1(t) = 1$*. Moreover since* $\hat{p}(t)$ *ranges from 0 to infinity and we have conditions :* $0 \leq \alpha_2(t)\gamma_1(t) = (a+\hat{a}t)(c+\hat{c}t)$; $0 < \alpha_2(t) = a + \hat{a}t$ *we deduce that* $a > 0$, $ac \geq 0$, $\hat{a}\hat{c} \geq 0$ *and* $ac + \hat{a}\hat{c} > 0$*. Besides we have (basing on our assumptions)* $\gamma_1(t)\hat{p}(t) = \alpha_2(t)\hat{p}_2(t)$ *which leads to relationship:* $(c+\hat{c}t)t = \hat{p}_2(t)(a+\hat{a}t)$*. If* $\hat{c} = 0$ *then* $\hat{p}_2(t)$ *could not be* *increasing. Hence we deduce that* $\hat{c} > 0$. *Thus examining equation (4.13)-(4.15) we deduce that there are* 3 *independent parameters* $\hat{a}/a$ *which Bryc at al. in* [8] *called* σ, $c/\hat{c}$ *which was called* τ *in and* $\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa}$ *which was denoted* $-q$ *in* [8]. *Notice that* $\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} = 1+q$ *in this notation. Besides since* $\hat{p}_2(t) = \frac{t(c+\hat{c}t)}{a+\hat{a}t} = \frac{t(\tau+t)}{1+\sigma t}$ *is to be non-decreasing we get (by calculating derivative) that for all* $t \geq 0 : \sigma t^2 + 2t + \tau \geq 0$. *Consequently that* $\sigma\tau \geq 1$.

The remaining parameters are b *and* $\hat{b}$ *appearing in (4.12). They are naturally related to parameters* η *and* θ *that were defined in* [8]. *Notice by the way that we avoided lengthy (it took 3 pages) and troublesome procedure of showing that these parameters exist based on the properties of coefficient of the quadratic form defining the quadratic harness. There are also some restrictions concerning coefficients* b *and* $\hat{b}$. *They follow observation that* $EX_t^2 p_1(X_t;t) = EX_t^3 = \beta_1(t)\hat{p}(t) = (b+\hat{b}t)t$ *and the fact that* $EX_t^4 > \left|EX_t^3\right|^{4/3}$.

Theorem 4. *Let* $\mathbf{X} = (X_t)_{t\in I}$ *be a Markov process with orthogonal polynomial martingales* $\{p_n(x;t)\}_{n\geq -1}$ *and densities* $f(x,t)$ *and* $g(x,t,y,s)$ *of one dimensional and transitional distributions satisfying Condition 1. Then* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a quadratic harness iff 3-term recurrence satisfied by polynomials* p_n *is (3.5) with coefficients* $\alpha_n(t)$, $\beta_n(t)$, $\gamma_n(t)$ *are defined by (4.5), (4.4) and (4.6) by the system of* 6 *number sequences* $\left\{a_n.\hat{a}_n, b_n, \hat{b}_n, c_n, \hat{c}_n\right\}$ *that have to satisfy the following system of* 5 *recursive equations is satisfied:*

$$\kappa(\hat{a}\hat{c}a_{n+1}a_{n+2} + \hat{a}_{n+1}\hat{a}_{n+2}ac - a\hat{c}a_{n+1}\hat{a}_{n+2}) = (\kappa-\lambda)a\hat{c}\hat{a}_{n+1}a_{n+2}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\kappa(\hat{a}\hat{c}c_{n-2}c_{n-1} - a\hat{c}\hat{c}_{n-2}c_{n-1} + ac\hat{c}_{n-2}\hat{c}_{n-1}) = (\kappa-\lambda)a\hat{c}c_{n-2}\hat{c}_{n-1}, \tag{4.17}$$

(4.18)

$$\kappa(ac\hat{a}_{n+1}(\hat{b}_{n+1} + \hat{b}_n) + \hat{a}\hat{c}a_{n+1}(b_{n+1} + b_n) - (\hat{b}c - b\hat{c})a\hat{a}_{n+1} - (\hat{a}b - a\hat{b})\hat{c}a_{n+1})$$

$$= a\hat{c}((\kappa-\lambda)(\hat{a}_{n+1}b_{n+1} + a_{n+1}\hat{b}_n) + \kappa(a_{n+1}\hat{b}_{n+1} + \hat{a}_{n+1}b_n)), \tag{4.19}$$

(4.20)

$$\kappa(\hat{a}\hat{c}c_{n-1}(b_n + b_{n-1}) + ac\hat{c}_{n-1}(\hat{b}_n + \hat{b}_{n-1}) - (\hat{b}c - b\hat{c})a\hat{c}_{n-1} - (\hat{a}b - a\hat{b})\hat{c}c_{n-1})$$

$$= a\hat{c}((\kappa-\lambda)(c_{n-1}\hat{b}_n + \hat{c}_{n-1}b_{n-1}) + \kappa(\hat{c}_{n-1}b_n + c_{n-1}\hat{b}_{n-1})), \tag{4.21}$$

(4.22)

$$\kappa(ac(\hat{a}_{n+1}\hat{c}_n + \hat{a}_n\hat{c}_{n-1} + \hat{b}_n(\hat{b}_n - \hat{b})) + \hat{a}\hat{c}(a_{n+1}c_n + a_nc_{n-1} + b_n(b_n - b)) + a\hat{c}) =$$

(4.23)

$$a\hat{c}((\kappa-\lambda)(\hat{a}_{n+1}c_n + a_n\hat{c}_{n-1} + b_n\hat{b}_n) + \kappa(a_{n+1}\hat{c}_n + \hat{a}_nc_{n-1} - b\hat{b} + (b_n - b)(\hat{b}_n - \hat{b}))$$

with initial conditions : $a_1 = a$, $\hat{a}_1 = \hat{a}$, $b_1 = b$, $\hat{b}_1 = \hat{b}$, $c_1 = c$ *and* $\hat{c}_1 = \hat{c}$, *and such that* $\forall t \in I$, $n \geq 0 : (a_n + \hat{a}_n\hat{p}(t))(c_n + \hat{c}_n\hat{p}(t)) > 0$.

Proof. Long tedious proof is shifted to Section 6. □

Proof. Uninteresting tedious proof is shifted to Section 6. □

Example 6. *Let us consider three examples. The* $q-$ *Wiener and* $(\alpha,q)-$*Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes described above and the Poisson process.*

Let us start with $q-$ *Wiener process. Following what was presented in Example 3 we have* $p_n(x;t) = t^{n/2}H_n(x/\sqrt{t})$. *Hence following (2.6) we have:*

$$xp_n(x;t) = p_{n+1}(x;t) + t[n]_q p_{n-1}(x;t).$$

Besides $\hat{p}(t)=\hat{p}_1(t)=Ep_1^2(X_t;t)=t$. *So* $\alpha_{n+1}(t)=1+0t$ *and thus* $a_n=1$, $\hat{a}_n=0$. *Further* $\beta_n(t)=0$ *so* $b_n=\hat{b}_n=0$. *Finally* $\gamma_{n-1}(t)=t[n]_q$, *so* $c_n=0$, $\hat{c}_n=[n+1]_q$. *Consequently values of parameters are* $a=a_1$, $\hat{a}=\hat{a}_1=0$, $b=\hat{b}=0$, $c=c_1=0$, $\hat{c}=c_1=1+q$, $\kappa=1+b\hat{b}+\hat{a}c=1$, $\lambda=a\hat{c}-\hat{a}c=1+q$, $\kappa-\lambda=-q$. *Functions* A, B, C, D, E, F *are now*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{(u-t)(u-qt)}{(u-s)(u-qs)},\ B=\frac{(1+q)(t-s)(u-t)}{(u-s)(u-qs)},\\ C &= \frac{(t-s)(t-qs)}{(u-s)(u-qs)},\ D=0,\ E=0, F=-sB. \end{aligned}$$

One can also check that equations (4.16), (4.17), (4.18), (4.19), (4.20), (4.21) are trivially satisfied since $b=\hat{b}=0$ *either* $\hat{a}=0$ *or* $c=0$ *and* $\hat{a}_n=0$, $c_n=0$. *To check the equation (4.22) (4.23) we have:*

$$(1+q)=(1+q)(-q[n]_q+[n+1]_q),$$

which is true. hence we deduce that $q-$*Wiener process is a quadratic harness.*

Now let us analyze $(\alpha,q)-OW$ *process. Following what was presented in Example 3 we have* $p_n(x;t)=\exp(n\alpha t)H_n(x|q)$. *Hence following (2.6) we have:*

$$xp_n(x;t)=e^{-\alpha t}p_{n+1}(x;t)+e^{\alpha t}[n]_q p_{n-1}(x;t).$$

In particular $\alpha_1(t)=e^{-\alpha t}$, $\gamma_0(t)=e^{\alpha t}$. *Besides* $\hat{p}(t)=\hat{p}_1(t)=Ep_1^2(X_t;t)=e^{2\alpha t}=\gamma_0(t)/\alpha_1(t)$. *Following equations (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) we have* $\alpha_{n+1}(t)=e^{-\alpha t}=1\alpha_1(t)+0\gamma_0(t)$ *so* $a_n=1$ *and* $\hat{a}_n=0$, $\gamma_{n-1}(t)=e^{\alpha t}[n]_q=0\alpha_1(t)+[n]_q\gamma_0(t)$, *so* $c_n=0$ *and* $\hat{c}_n=[n+1]_q$. *Of course like before* $b=\hat{b}=b_n=\hat{b}_n=0$. *Functions* A, B, C, D, E, F *are now*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{(e^{2\alpha u}-e^{2\alpha t})(e^{2\alpha u}-qe^{2\alpha t})}{(e^{2\alpha u}-e^{2\alpha s})(e^{2\alpha u}-qe^{2\alpha s})},\ B=\frac{(1+q)(e^{2\alpha t}-e^{2\alpha s})(e^{2\alpha u}-e^{2\alpha t})}{(e^{2\alpha u}-e^{2\alpha s})(e^{2\alpha u}-qe^{2\alpha s})},\\ C &= \frac{(e^{2\alpha t}-e^{2\alpha s})(e^{2\alpha t}-qe^{2\alpha s})}{(e^{2\alpha u}-e^{2\alpha s})(e^{2\alpha u}-qe^{2\alpha s})},\ D=0,\ E=0, F=-e^{2\alpha s}B. \end{aligned}$$

Like in the case of $q-$*Wiener process equations (4.16), (4.17), (4.18), (4.19), (4.20), (4.21) are trivially satisfied since* $b=\hat{b}=0$ *either* $\hat{a}=0$ *or* $c=0$ *and* $\hat{a}_n=0$, $c_n=0$. *Equation (4.22) (4.23) has the same form as in the* $q-$*Wiener process. Hence we deduce that* $(\alpha,q)-OW$ *process is a quadratic harness.*

Let us now turn our attention to the Poisson process with parameter μ. *Following* [13] *(section 1.12) we deduce that* $p_n(x;t)=(-\mu t)^n C_n(x;\mu t)$, *where* C_n *denotes Charlier polynomial as defined by (*[13], *1.12.1). Moreover following* [13], *(1.12.4) we have*

$$xp_n(x;t)=p_{n+1}(x;t)+(n+\mu t)p_n(x;t)+n\mu t p_{n-1}(x;t).$$

Besides following [13]*(1.12.2) we deduce that* $\hat{p}(t)=\hat{p}_1(t)=\lambda t$. *Thus parameters are the following:* $a=1$, $\hat{a}=0$, $b=1$, $\hat{b}=0$, $c=0$, $\hat{c}=2$, $\kappa=1$, $\lambda=2$ *since parameters* b *and* $\hat{b}$ *are defined by* $\beta_1(t)-\beta_0(t)=b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(t)$. *Following equations (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) we have* $\alpha_{n+1}(t)=1+0\mu t$, $\beta_n(t)=n$, $\gamma_{n-1}(t)=n\mu t$ *and thus consequently* $a_n=1$, $\hat{a}_n=0$, $b_n=n$, $\hat{b}_n=0$, $c_n=0$, $\hat{c}_n=n+1$. *Functions* A,

B, C, D, E, F are now

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{(u-t)^2}{(u-s)^2},\ B = \frac{2(u-t)(t-s)}{(u-s)^2}, \\ C &= \frac{(t-s)^2}{(u-s)^2},\ D = -B,\ E = -sB, F = -sB. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we deduce that Poisson process is a harness. As far as the property of being a quadratic harness is concerned we deduce that equations (4.16), (4.17), (4.18), (4.19) are trivially satisfied since either $\hat{a} = 0$ or $c = 0$, $\hat{a}_n = 0$, $c_n = 0$. As far as equations (4.20), (4.21) is concerned we on the left hand sides we have $2n(n-n+1)$ while on the right hand side we get $2n$. Finally equation (4.22) (4.23) leads to the following identity: $2 = 2(-n+n+1)$. hence we deduce that process $\{Z_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a quadratic harness. This confirms result of [8].

5. Open problems and remarks

Quadratic harnesses have been defined for square integrable processes. However majority of examples (e.g. in [7],[8], [11], [5], [9]) deal with quadratic harnesses that have all moments and belong to the class OPM. Recently in [10] the authors showed that there exist quadratic harnesses that do not have all moments.

There is an immediate interesting question are there $2-$harnesses that are not harnesses? Or more generally are there $r+1-$harnesses that are not $r-$harnesses?

At first sight it seems that yes but I do not know any examples.

Recalling Theorem 3 we notice that condition of being a harness defines 6 number sequences $\{a_n, \hat{a}_n, b_n, \hat{b}_n, c_n, \hat{c}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$. Further little reflection shows that being a harness and $r-$harness would require more and more equations to be satisfied by the same number of 6 parameters sequences . When r was equal 2 we had 5 conditions, and generally for any r we would have $2r+1$ conditions to be satisfied by 6 number sequences. Hence to be a $r-$harness would be more and more difficult. Are there processes that are harnesses for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (let's call this property as being a total harness)? The answer is yes. It turns out that not only classical Wiener and Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process are total harnesses but the result presented in [17], Theorem 2. can be interpreted in such a way that also $q-$Wiener and $(\alpha, q)-$OU processes are total harnesses.

Are there other total harnesses? Considering as $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ the Poisson process with parameter say equal to μ, little reflection leads to the conclusion that the conditional distribution of $X_t|X_s = k, X_u = n$ for $s < t < u$ is equal to the distribution of $k+Y$ where $Y \sim Bin(n-k, \frac{t-s}{u-s})$, where $Bin(n,p)$ denotes binomial distribution with parameters $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [0,1]$. Following well known properties of binomial distributions we see that $n-th$ moment of $k+Y$ is a polynomial of order at most n of k and n. Hence Poisson process is also a total harness. But are they the only ones? What are the necessary and sufficient conditions to be satisfied by $\{a_n, \hat{a}_n, b_n, \hat{b}_n, c_n, \hat{c}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ for being a total harness?

There is another interesting more general and more difficult question that we do not know the answer for. Namely as it follows from Theorem 2 there are at least as many OPM processes as there are marginal measures $\mu(.,t)$, $t \in I$. Another words having family $\mu(.,t)$, $t \in I$ of probability measures we have family of orthogonal polynomials $p_n(x;t)$ and by Theorem 2 we have transitional measure. In general within MPR class we have for all $t\in I$ and $n\geq 1:$

$$Ep_{n}(X_{t};t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})=q_{n}(X_{s};s,t),$$

where q_{n} denotes polynomial of order not exceeding n and p_{n} as before orthogonal polynomial of marginal distribution μ. In general $q_{n}(X_{s};s,t\,)\neq p_{n}(X_{s};s)$ suggesting that the transitional measure is not determined by the marginal one completely. A relationship however exists. What is its nature? Can we describe it?

6. Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1. i) Consider (2.2). By out assumption matrix $\mathbf{C}_{n}(t,s)$ is non-singular hence both matrices $\mathbf{M}_{n}(s)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{n}(s,t)$ must be non-singular.

ii) By the tower property applied to X_{u}^{n} we have :

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\gamma_{j,n}(s,u)X_{s}^{j}&=E(X_{u}^{n}|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})=E(E(X_{u}^{n}|\mathcal{F}_{\leq t})|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})\\&=E(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\gamma_{k,n}(t,u)X_{t}^{k}|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\gamma_{k,n}(t,u)\sum_{j=0}^{k}\gamma_{j,k}(s,t)X_{s}^{j}\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{n}X_{s}^{j}\sum_{k=j}^{n}\gamma_{j,k}(s,t)\gamma_{k,n}(t,u).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing respective coefficients we get for $k\leq n:\gamma_{k,n}(s,u)=\sum_{j=k}^{n}\gamma_{k,j}(s,t)\gamma_{j,n}(t,u)$. Now notice that this system of equations can written briefly in the matrix form: $\mathcal{A}_{n}(s,t)\mathcal{A}_{n}(t,u)$.

iii) We set say $s=0$ in ii) and then by i) we get

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}^{-1}(0,t)\mathcal{A}_{n}(0,u)=\mathcal{A}_{n}(t,u),$$

for all $t\leq u$. Thus it remains to define $V_{n}(u)\overset{df}{=}\mathcal{A}_{n}(0,u)$.

iv) First of all let us notice that by MSC assumption diagonal entries of matrix $\mathcal{A}_{n}(s,t)$ are positive for s sufficiently close to t and $s<t$. Secondly recall that diagonal elements of triangular matrix are equal to its eigenvalues. Thirdly from the decomposition (2.4) it follows that the product of diagonal entries of matrices V_{n} and V_{n}^{-1} are equal to diagonal elements of $\mathcal{A}_{n}(s,t)$. Hence we can select diagonal entries of V_{n} to be positive at least for $s<t$ close to t. On the other hand since by assumption elements of all matrices V_{n}, $\mathcal{A}_{n}(s,t)$ are continuous functions of t they cannot change sign since all matrices involved are non-singular. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Firstly notice that condition (2.5) is equivalently to the fact that $D_{N}^{-1}(t)V_{N}^{-1}(s)=D_{N}^{-1}(s)V_{N}^{-1}(t)$. Let us define $\mathbf{T}_{N}(s)=D_{N}^{-1}(s)X_{s}^{(N)}$. Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $D_{N}^{-1}(t)$ we see by (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}E(\mathbf{T}_{N}(t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})&=D_{N}^{-1}(t)E(X_{t}^{(N)}|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})=D_{N}^{-1}(t)\mathcal{A}_{N}(s,t)X_{s}^{(N)}\\&=D_{N}^{-1}(t)V_{N}^{-1}(s)V_{N}(t)X_{s}^{(N)}=D_{N}^{-1}(s)V_{N}^{-1}(t)V_{N}(t)X_{s}^{(N)}=T_{N}(s).\end{aligned}$$

Conversely say $(\mathbf{T}_{N}(t),\mathcal{F}_{\leq t})$ is a martingale. By the assumed martingale structure of $\mathbf{T}_{N}$ we deduce that there exists a $N\times N$ matrix $D_{N}(t)$ such that $\mathbf{T}_{N}(t)$ $=D_{N}^{-1}(t)X_{t}^{(N)}$. On one hand we have $E(\mathbf{T}_{N}(t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})=D_{N}^{-1}(t)E(X_{t}^{(N)}|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$

$= D_N^{-1}(t)V_N^{-1}(s)V_N(t)X_s^{(N)}$. On the other (by martingale assumption we have) $E(\mathbf{T}_N(t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = D_N^{-1}(s)X_s^{(N)}$. Hence we have

$$D_N^{-1}(t)V_N^{-1}(s)V_N(t)X_s^{(N)} = D_N^{-1}(s)X_s^{(N)}$$

almost surely. Since support of $X_s^{(N)}$ contains infinite number of points we deduce that $D_N^{-1}(t)V_N^{-1}(s)V_N(t) = D_N^{-1}(s)$ for all $t > s$. This inequality is equivalent to (2.5).

Now let us suppose that all diagonal entries of matrix $V_N(t)$ are different. We have to show that $D_N(t) = V_N(t)$. First of all notice that equality that $D_N^{-1}(t)V_N^{-1}(s)V_N(t) = D_N^{-1}(s)$ can be multiplied by a non-singular matrix with entries that do not depend on t or s hence matrix D is only defined up to multipliers of the diagonal. Secondly this matrix equality is equivalent to the equality $V_N(t)D_N(s) = D_N(t)V_N(s)$. Further notice that since all matrices $V_N(t)$, $V_N(s)$, $D_N(t)$ and $D_N(s)$ are lower triangular we deduce that $v_{i,i}(t)d_{i,i}(s) = v_{i,i}(s)d_{i,i}(t)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Hence we can select without loosing generality $d_{i,i}(t) = v_{i.i}(t)$ for $t \in I$ and $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Now let us notice that $i+1, i$ entries of matrices $V_N(t)D_N(s)$ and $D_N(t)V_N(s)$ are respectively $v_{i+1,i}(t)v_{i,i}(s) + v_{i+1,i+1}(t)d_{i+1,i}(s)$ and $d_{i+1,i}(t)v_{i,i}(s) + v_{i+1,i+1}(t)v_{i+1,i}(s)$. Equality of these two quantities implies that

$$v_{i+1,i}(t) - d_{i+1,i}(t) = v_{i+1,i+1}(t)\frac{v_{i+1,i}(s) - d_{i+1,i}(s)}{v_{i,i}(s)}.$$

First of all we notice that since the left hand side does not depend on s so must be the right hand side. Consequently $\frac{v_{i+1,i}(s)-d_{i+1,i}(s)}{v_{i,i}(s)}$ must be equal to a constant say m_i. Dividing both sides of the above equality by $v_{i+1,i+1}(t)$ we see that

$$\frac{v_{i,i}(t)}{v_{i+1,i+1}(t)}m_i = m_i.$$

By our assumption m_i must be equal to zero and consequently we have $d_{i+1,i}(t) = v_{i+1,i}(t)$ for $t \in I$ and $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$.

Now let us consider $i+2, i$ entries of these two matrices. On one hand they are equal to respectively $v_{i+2,i}(t)v_{i,i}(s) + v_{i+2,i+1}(t)d_{i+1,i}(s) + v_{i+2,i+2}(t)d_{i+2,i}(s)$ and $d_{i+2,i}(t)v_{i,i}(s) + d_{i+2,i+1}(t)v_{i+1,i}(s) + v_{i+2,i+2}(t)v_{i+2,i}(s)$ again since we have shown that $d_{i+1,i}(t) = v_{i+1,i}(t)$ we deduce that $v_{i+2,i}(t)v_{i,i}(s) + v_{i+2,i+2}(t)d_{i+2,i}(s) = d_{i+2,i}(t)v_{i,i}(s) + v_{i+2,i+2}(t)v_{i+2,i}(s)$ for all $t \in I$ and $i = 1, \ldots, N-2$. That is similar situation as in the case $i+1, i$. Thus we deduce that $d_{i+2,i}(s) = v_{i+2,i}(t)$ for all i and t. And so on we deduce that $d_{j,i}(t) = v_{j,i}(t)$ for all $t \in I$ and $N \geq j > i \geq 1$. □

Proof of Corollary 4. From (2.4) it follows that

$$V_n(s)\mathcal{A}_n(s,t) = V_n(t) \tag{6.1}$$

and we know from Proposition 2 that:

$$E(X_t^n|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}\gamma_{n-j,0}(s,t)X_s^j,$$

since in this case $\gamma_{1,1}(s,t) = 1$ and $\gamma_{1,0}(s,t) = 0$. Hence the $i,j-$th entry of the matrix $\mathcal{A}_n$ must be of the form $\binom{i}{j}\gamma_{n-j,0}(s,t)$ where $\gamma_{n-j,0}$ is its $(i-j,0)-$th entry. Let us denote $\gamma_{k,0}(s,t)$ $\gamma_k(s,t)$ for brevity. Besides $i,i-$th entry of this matrix is equal to 1. Assuming that diagonal entries of matrix $V_n(s)$ are also 1 we have to show that $v_{i,j}(t) = \binom{i}{j} g_{i-j}(t)$ for $i > j$ for some functions $g_k(t)$. Secondly notice that $i, j-$th entry of the matrix equation (6.1) takes the form

$$\sum_{k=j}^{i} v_{i,k}(s) \binom{k}{j} \gamma_{k-j}(s,t) = v_{i,j}(t).$$

Taking $j = i-1$ we see that $i\gamma_1(s,t) = v_{i,i-1}(t) - v_{i,i-1}(s)$. Since $\gamma_1(s,t) = v_{1,0}(t) - v_{1,0}(s)$. Let us denote $v_{1,0}(t) = g_1(t)$. Hence we have proved that $v_{i,i-1}(t) = \binom{i}{i-1} g_1(t)$. Further proof is by induction. Hence assume that $v_{i,i-j}(t) = \binom{i}{j} g_j(t)$ for $j \leq m$. Let us take $j = m+1$. We have by the induction assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{i,i-m-1}(s) + \sum_{k=i-m}^{i} \binom{i}{i-k} \binom{k}{i-m-1} g_{i-k}(t) \gamma_{k-i+m+1}(s,t) \\ = \quad & v_{i,i-m-1}(s) + \binom{i}{m+1} \sum_{k=i-m}^{i} \binom{m+1}{i-k} g_{i-k}(t) \gamma_{k-i+m+1}(s,t) \\ = \quad & v_{i,i-m-1}(s) + \binom{i}{m+1} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \binom{m+1}{m-s} g_{m-s}(t) \gamma_{s+1}(s,t). \end{aligned}$$

So $v_{i,i-m-11}(s)/\binom{i}{m+1}$ does not depend on i. So let us denote $v_{m+1,0}(s) = g_{m+1}(s)$. Consequently $v_{i,i-m-1}(s) = \binom{i}{m+1} g_{m+1}(t)$.

To show that $V_n^{-1}(t) X_t^{(n)}$ is a martingale we have to show (by Theorem 1) that for example matrices $V_n(t)$ and $V_n(s)$ commute. Let us thus calculate $i, j-$th entry of the product $V_n(t) V_n(s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=j}^{i} v_{i,k}(t) v_{k,j}(s) &= \sum_{k=j}^{i} \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{j} g_{i-k}(t) g_{k-j}(s) \\ &= \binom{i}{j} \sum_{k=j}^{i} \binom{i-j}{k-j} g_{i-k}(t) g_{k-j}(s) \\ &= \binom{i}{j} \sum_{s=0}^{i-j} \binom{i-j}{s} g_{i-j-s}(t) g_s(s). \end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to notice that $\sum_{s=0}^{i-j} \binom{i-j}{s} g_{i-j-s}(t) g_s(s) = \sum_{s=0}^{i-j} \binom{i-j}{s} g_{i-j-s}(s) g_s(t)$. □

Proof of Proposition 3. Let us write (2.5) in an equivalent form: $J_n^{-1}(s) D_n^{-1}(s) V_n^{-1}(t) = J_n^{-1}(t) D_n^{-1}(t) V_n^{-1}(s)$.

First let us assume that moment matrix $\mathbf{M}_n$ has Cholesky decomposition with matrix D_n satisfying (3.3). Let us define $\mathbf{p}_n(X_t;t) = J_n^{-1}(t) D_n^{-1}(t) X_t^{(n)}$ sequence of polynomials. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{p}_n(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) &= J_n^{-1}(t) D_n^{-1}(t) \mathcal{A}_n(s,t) X_s^{(n)} = J_n^{-1}(t) D_n^{-1}(t) V_n^{-1}(s) V_n(t) X_s^{(n)} \\ &= J_n^{-1}(s) D_n^{-1}(s) X_s^{(n)} = \mathbf{p}_n(X_s;s). \end{aligned}$$

Besides we have

$$E\mathbf{p}_n(X_t;t)\mathbf{p}_n^T(X_t;t) = J_n^{-1}(t) D_n^{-1}(t) \mathbf{M}_n(t) (J_n^{-1}(t) D_n^{-1}(t))^T = J_n^{-2}(t).$$

Hence indeed polynomial martingales $\mathbf{p}_n(X_t;t)$ are also mutually orthogonal.

Hence let us assume now $\mathbf{p}_n(X_t;t) = F_n^{-1}(t)X_t^{(n)}$ is a orthogonal polynomial martingale. Thus we have:

$$F_n^{-1}(s)X_s^{(n)} = \mathbf{p}_n(X_s;s) = E(\mathbf{p}_n(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = F_n^{-1}(t)\mathcal{A}_n(s,t)X_s^{(n)} = F_n^{-1}(t)V_n^{-1}(s)V_n(t)X_s^{(n)}.$$

By assumption support of random vector $X_s^{(n)}$ is infinite thus we must have

$$F_n^{-1}(s) = F_n^{-1}(t)V_n^{-1}(s)V_n(t). \tag{6.2}$$

Further have $E\mathbf{p}_n(X_t;t)\mathbf{p}_n^T(X_t;t) = F_n^{-1}(t)\mathbf{M}_n(t)(F_n^{-1}(t))^T$ and by assumption the last matrix is diagonal. Since matrix $\mathbf{M}_n$ is positive definite we deduce that it has Cholesky decomposition $\mathbf{M}_n(t) = D_n(t)D_n^T(t)$ with D_n being lower-triangular. Consequently we have $F_n^{-1}(t)D_n(t)(F_n^{-1}(t)D_n(t))^T = \mathbf{P}_n(t)$ where $\mathbf{P}_n$ denotes some diagonal matrix with positive entries. Since Cholesky decomposition is unique we deduce that $D_n^{-1}(t)F_n(t) = \sqrt{\mathbf{P}_n(t)}$ where $\sqrt{\mathbf{P}_n(t)}$ denotes diagonal matrix with entries that are square roots of entries of matrix $\mathbf{P}_n(t)$. Consequently $F_n(t) = D_n(t)\sqrt{\mathbf{P}_n(t)}$ and condition (6.2) with so defined F_n is equivalent to condition (3.3). □

Proof of Theorem 3. First let us notice that $h(x,t|y,s,z,u) = \frac{g(x,t,y,s)g(z,u,x,t)}{g(z,u,y,s)}$ is the conditional density of $X_t|X_s = y, X_u = z$ for $s < t < u$. Let us find $E(X_t|X_s = y, X_u = z)$. We have using (3.4):

$$h(x,t|y,s,z,u) = \frac{1}{g(z,u,y,s)}f(x;t)f(z;u)\sum_{j,k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_j(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_j(x;t)p_j(y,s)p_k(z;u)p_k(x;t).$$

To perform our calculations swiftly let us notice that from (3.5) it follows that we have expansion

$$p_1(x;t)p_n(x;t) = \hat{\alpha}_{n+1}(t)p_{n+1}(x;t) + \hat{\beta}_n(t)p_n(x;t) + \hat{\gamma}_{n-1}(t)p_{n-1}(x;t), \tag{6.3}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_{n+1}(t) = \alpha_{n+1}(t)/\alpha_1(t)$; $\hat{\beta}_n(t) = (\beta_n(t) - \beta_0(t))/\alpha_1(t)$; $\hat{\gamma}_{n-1}(t) = \gamma_{n-1}(t)/\alpha_1(t)$. So we have

$$E(p_1(X_t;t)|X_s = y, X_u = z) = \frac{1}{\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u)}\times$$
$$\sum_{j,k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_j(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_j(y,s)p_k(z;u)\int p_1(x;t)p_j(x;t)p_k(x;t)f(x,t)dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u)}\times$$
$$\sum_{j,k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_j(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_j(y,s)p_k(z;u)\int(\hat{\alpha}_{j+1}p_{j+1}(x;t) + \hat{\beta}_j(t)p_j(x;t) + \hat{\gamma}_{j-1}(t)p_{j-1}(x;t))p_k(x;t)f(x,t)dx.$$

Let us calculate

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j,k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_j(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_j(y,s)p_k(z;u)\\&\times\int(\hat{\alpha}_{j+1}p_{j+1}(x;t)+\hat{\beta}_j(t)p_j(x;t)+\hat{\gamma}_{j-1}(t)p_{j-1}(x;t))p_k(x;t)f(x,t)dx\\=\;&\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\hat{\alpha}_k(t)\hat{p}_k(t)}{\hat{p}_{k-1}(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_{k-1}(y;s)p_k(z;u)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\hat{\beta}_k(t)p_k(y,s)p_k(z;u)/\hat{p}_k(u)\\&+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\hat{p}_k(t)\hat{\gamma}_k(t)}{\hat{p}_{k+1}(t)}p_{k+1}(y,s)p_k(z;u)/\hat{p}_k(u).\end{aligned}$$

Now using Proposition 4 iii) and definition of functions $\hat{\alpha}_n(t)$, $\hat{\gamma}_n(t)$ and $\hat{\beta}_n(t)$ we have:$\frac{\hat{\alpha}_k(t)\hat{p}_k(t)}{\hat{p}_{k-1}(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}=\frac{\gamma_{k-1}(t)\hat{p}_{k-1}(t)}{\hat{p}_{k-1}(t)\hat{p}_k(u)\alpha_1(t)}=\frac{\hat{\gamma}_{k-1}(t)}{\hat{p}_k(u)}$ and similarly for other coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}E(p_1(X_t;t)|X_s=y,X_u=z)&=\frac{1}{\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u)}\\\times\sum_{k\geq 0}(\hat{\gamma}_{k-1}(t)p_{k-1}(y;s)&+\hat{\beta}_k(t)p_k(y,s)+\hat{\alpha}_{k+1}(t)p_{k+1}(y,s))p_k(z;u)/\hat{p}_k(u).\end{aligned}$$

Now by assumption that $\mathbf{X}$ is a harness we must have the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k\geq 0}(\hat{\gamma}_{k-1}(t)p_{k-1}(y;s)+\hat{\beta}_k(t)p_k(y,s)+\hat{\alpha}_{k+1}(t)p_{k+1}(y,s))p_k(z;u)/\hat{p}_k(u)\\&A(s,t,u)\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)(\hat{\alpha}_{n+1}(s)p_{n+1}(y,s)+\hat{\beta}_n(s)p_n(y,s)+\hat{\gamma}_{n-1}(s)p_{n-1}(y,s))/\hat{p}_n(u)\\&+B(s,t,u)\sum_{n\geq 0}(\hat{\alpha}_{n+1}(u)p_{n+1}(z,u)+\hat{\beta}_n(u)p_n(z;u)+\hat{\gamma}_{n-1}(u)p_{n-1}(z,u))p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u).\end{aligned}$$

Let us multiply both sides of this equality by $p_m(z;u)$ and integrate with respect to $f(z,u)dz$. We will get

$$\begin{aligned}&(\hat{\gamma}_{m-1}(t)p_{m-1}(y;s)+\hat{\beta}_m(t)p_m(y,s)+\hat{\alpha}_{m+1}(t)p_{m+1}(y,s))\\&+B(s,t,u)(\hat{\alpha}_m(u)p_{m-1}(y;s)\hat{p}_m(u)/\hat{p}_{m-1}(u)+\hat{\beta}_m(u)p_m(y;s)+\hat{\gamma}_m(u)p_{m+1}(y;s)\hat{p}_m(u)/\hat{p}_{m+1}(u))\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Proposition 4 iii) and uniqueness of expansion in orthogonal polynomials we get the following equations

$$\hat{\beta}_n(t)=A(s,t,u)\hat{\beta}_n(s)+B(s,t,u)\hat{\beta}_n(u),\tag{6.4}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{m-1}(t)=A(s,t,u)\hat{\gamma}_{m-1}(s)+B(s,t,u)\hat{\gamma}_{m-1}(u),\tag{6.5}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{m+1}(t)=A(s,t,u)\hat{\alpha}_{m+1}(s)+B(s,t,u)\hat{\alpha}_{m+1}(u),\tag{6.6}$$

where $\{\alpha_n(t),\beta_n(t),\gamma_n(t)\}$ are the coefficients of the modified 3-term recurrence (6.3) satisfied by polynomials $\{p_n\}$ and functions A, B are given by (4.2) and (4.3). To find functions $\beta_n(t)$, $\alpha_n(t)$ and $\gamma_n(t)$ satisfying equations (6.4)-(6.6) we will use the following auxiliary result:

Lemma 3. *Let $g(t)$ be some nonzero, monotone continuous function and suppose that continuous function $f(t)$ satisfies functional equation*

$$f(t)=\frac{g(u)-g(t)}{g(u)-g(s)}f(s)+\frac{g(t)-g(s)}{g(u)-g(s)}f(u),$$

for all $s \neq t \neq u \neq s$*. Then* $f(t)$ *is a linear function of* $g(t)$*.*

Proof. Since $\frac{g(u)-g(t)}{g(u)-g(s)}+\frac{g(t)-g(s)}{g(u)-g(s)}=1$ we have $\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{g(t)-g(s)}=\frac{f(u)-f(t)}{g(u)-g(t)}$. Hence $\frac{f(u)-f(t)}{g(u)-g(t)}$ does not depend on u. Hence $f(u)=\eta(t)(g(u)-g(t))+f(t)$. Taking two different values of t say t_1 and t_2 we get: $0=(\eta(t_1)-\eta(t_2))g(u)+C(t_1,t_2)$ for all u. Since $g(u)$ is not constant we deduce that $\eta(t_1)=\eta(t_2)$ and that $C(t_1,t_2)=0$ which leads to conclusion that $f(t_1)-\eta(t_1)g(t_1)=f(t_2)-\eta(t_2)g(t_2)$. Both these conclusions lead to linearity of $f(t)$ with respect to $g(t)$. $\square$

Now recall that $A(s,t,u)=\frac{\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t)}{\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s)}$ and $B(s,t,u)=\frac{\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s)}{\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s)}$. Hence we immediately have:

$$\hat{\beta}_n(t)=b_n+\hat{b}_n\hat{p}(t),\ \hat{\gamma}_n(t)=c_n+\hat{c}_n\hat{p}(t),\ \alpha_n(t)=a_n+\hat{a}_n\hat{p}(t).$$

Now it remains recall definitions of coefficients $\hat{\alpha}$, $\hat{\beta}$, $\hat{\gamma}$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2. Now let us consider expression for $E(p_2(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})$. On the way we will use the following notation:

$$p_2(x;t)p_n(x;t)=r_{2,n+2}(t)p_{n+2}(x;t)+r_{1,n+1}(t)p_{n+1}(x;t) \tag{6.7}$$

$$+r_{0,n}(t)p_n(x;t)+r_{-1,n-1}(t)p_{n-1}(x;t)r_{-2,n-2}(t)p_{n-2}(x;t), \tag{6.8}$$

$$p_1(x;t)p_n(x;t)=v_{1,n+1}(t)p_{n+1}(x;t)+v_{0,n}(t)p_n(x;t)+v_{-1,n-1}(t)p_{n-1}(x;t). \tag{6.9}$$

Multiplying both sides of the above expansion firstly by $p_{n-2}(x;t)$ and $p_{n-1}(x;t)$ and then integrating we get:and similarly for the second expansion. During all calculations we use the following observations that we recall here for clarity of exposition. They follow properties of polynomials p_n and Proposition 4 For $n\geq 0$ and $t,s\in I, s<t<u$:

$$Ep_n(X_t;t)p_m(X_t;t)=\hat{p}_n(t)\delta_{n,m},\ E(p_n(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})=p_n(X_s;s),$$

$$E(p_n(X_s;s)|\mathcal{F}_{\geq t})=\frac{\hat{p}_n(s)}{\hat{p}_n(t)}p_n(X_t;t),$$

$$Ep_1^2(X_t;t)p_2(X_t;t)=\frac{\alpha_2(t)}{\alpha_1(t)}\hat{p}_2(t),\ Ep_1^3(X_t;t)=\frac{(\beta_1(t)-\beta_0(t)}{\alpha_1(t)}\hat{p}(t)$$

$$Ep_1^2(X_t;t)p_1^2(X_s,s)=\frac{1}{\alpha_1(t)\alpha_1(s)}$$

$$\times(\alpha_2(t)\alpha_2(s)\hat{p}_2(s)+(\beta_1(t)-\beta_0(t))(\beta_1(s)-\beta_0(s))\hat{p}_1(s)+\gamma_0(t)\gamma_0(s))$$

We will show that coefficients A, B, C, D, E, F satisfy the following system of linear equations.:

$$0=F+B\hat{p}(s),\quad 1=(A+C)+B\frac{\alpha_2(s)}{\alpha_1(s)}, \tag{6.10}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_1(t)}{\alpha_2(t)}\hat{p}(t)=A\frac{\gamma_1(s)}{\alpha_2(s)}\hat{p}(s)+C\frac{\gamma_1(u)}{\alpha_2(u)}\hat{p}(u)+B\frac{\gamma_1(u)}{\alpha_1(u)}\hat{p}(s), \tag{6.11}$$

$$0=\frac{\beta_1(s)-\beta_0(s)}{\alpha_1(s)}B+(D+E),\ 0=\frac{\beta_1(u)-\beta_0(u)}{\alpha_1(u)}B\hat{p}(s)+D\hat{p}(s)+E\hat{p}(u), \tag{6.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\gamma_1(t)}{\alpha_1(t)}=A\frac{\gamma_1(s)}{\alpha_1(s)}+C\frac{\gamma_1(u)}{\alpha_1(u)}+B(\frac{\alpha_2(u)\gamma_1(s)}{\alpha_1(u)\alpha_1(s)}+\frac{(\beta_1(u)-\beta_0(u))(\beta_1(s)-\beta_0(s))}{\alpha_1(u)\alpha_1(s)}\\+\hat{p}(u))+D\frac{\beta_1(s)-\beta_0(s)}{\alpha_1(s)}+E\frac{\beta_1(u)-\beta_0(u)}{\alpha_1(u)}+F.\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

In our lengthy calculations we will process formula (4.7) which we copy here below for the convenience of the reader.

$$E(p_2(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})=Ap_2(X_s;s)+Bp_1(X_s;s)p_1(X_u;u)+Cp_2(X_u;u)+Dp_1(X_s;s)+Ep_1(X_u;u)+F.$$

To get first assertion of equation (6.10) we get by integrating (4.7). To get the second one we multiply (4.7) by $p_2(X_s;s)$ and integrate. To get (6.11 we multiply (4.7) by $p_2(X_u;u)$ and integrate. To get first assertion of (6.12) we multiply (4.7) by $p_1(X_s;s)$ and integrate. To get the second one we multiply (4.7) by $p_1(X_u;u)$ and integrate. To get (6.13) we multiply (4.7) by $p_1(X_s;s)p_1(X_u;u)$ and integrate. Next we use identity: $\alpha_2(t)\hat{p}_2(t)=\gamma_1(t)\hat{p}(t)$ and $\alpha_1(t)\hat{p}(t)=\gamma_0(t)$ and then cancel out $\hat{p}(s)$.

Now following Theorem 3 and Proposition 4 to prove second assertion we have to take into account the following facts:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(t)-\beta_0(t)&=b\alpha(t)+\hat{b}\gamma(t)=\alpha(t)(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(t)),\\ \alpha_2(t)&=a\alpha(t)+\hat{a}\gamma(t)=\alpha(t)(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))\\ \gamma_1(t)&=c\alpha(t)+\hat{c}\gamma(t)=\alpha(t)(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t)),\\ \hat{p}(t)&=\hat{p}_1(t)=\frac{\gamma(t)}{\alpha(t)},\\ \hat{p}_2(t)&=\frac{\gamma_1(t)\hat{p}(t)}{\alpha_2(t)}=\frac{\gamma(t)(c\alpha(t)+\hat{c}\gamma(t))}{\alpha(t)(a\alpha(t)+\hat{a}\gamma(t)}=\hat{p}(t)\frac{c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t)}{a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t)},\end{aligned}$$

where we denoted for simplicity $\alpha(t) = \alpha_1(t)$ and $\gamma(t) = \gamma_0(t)$ and a, $\hat{a}$, b, $\hat{b}$, c, $\hat{c}$ are some numerical parameters. So equations (6.10)-(6.12) now become:

$$F = -B\gamma(s)\alpha(s),\ 1 = A + C + B(a + \hat{a}\frac{\gamma(s)}{\alpha(s)}),$$

$$\hat{p}(t)\frac{(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t)} = A\hat{p}(s)\frac{(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(s))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))} + C\hat{p}(u)\frac{(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(u))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u)} + B\hat{p}(s)(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(u)),$$

$$0 = D + E + B(b + \hat{b}\hat{p}(s)),\ 0 = D\hat{p}(s) + E\hat{p}(u) + B\hat{p}(s)(b + \hat{b}\hat{p}(u))$$

$$(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t)) = A(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(s)) + C(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(u)) + D(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(s)) + E(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(u))$$

$$-B\hat{p}(s) + B((a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))\frac{(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(s))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s)} + (b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(s))(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(u)) + \hat{p}(u)).$$

Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned} D(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(s)) + E(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(u)) &= b(D+E) + \hat{b}(D\hat{p}(s) + E\hat{p}(u)) \\ &= -bB(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(s)) - \hat{p}(s)B\hat{b}(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(u)) \\ &= -B(b^2 + 2b\hat{b}\hat{p}(s) + \hat{b}\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)) \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $\hat{p}(t)$ is nonzero as a square bracket of the martingale $p_1(X_t;t)$ we can divide by $p(s)$ the last equation. Further we notice that $D(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(s)) + E(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(u)) = b(D+E) + \hat{b}(D\hat{p}(s) + E\hat{p}(u)) = -Bb(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(s)) - B\hat{b}\hat{p}(s)(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(u)) = -B(2b\hat{b}\hat{p}(s) + b^2 + \hat{b}^2\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u))$. Further $B(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(s))(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(u) - B(2b\hat{b}\hat{p}(s) + b^2 + \hat{b}^2\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)) = B(b^2 + b\hat{b}\hat{p}(s) + b\hat{b}\hat{p}(u) + \hat{b}^2\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u) - 2b\hat{b}\hat{p}(s) - b^2 - \hat{b}^2\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)) = Bb\hat{b}(\hat{p}(u) - \hat{p}(s))$. Hence our system of 5 equations can be split into two sets: Set consisting of three equations satisfied by unknowns A, B, C :

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= A + C + B(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s)), \\ \hat{p}(t)\frac{c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t)}{a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t)} &= A\hat{p}(s)\frac{(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(s))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))} + C\hat{p}(u)\frac{(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(u))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))} + B\hat{p}(s)(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(u)), \\ c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(t) &= A(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(s)) + C(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(u)) + B((\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(1+b\hat{b}) + (a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))(c+\hat{c}\hat{p}(s)) \end{aligned}$$

The other set of two equations that is satisfied by D and E.

$$0 = D + E + B(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(s)),\ 0 = D\hat{p}(s) + E\hat{p}(u) + B\hat{p}(s)(b+\hat{b}\hat{p}(u)).$$

The first set of equations yields:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{a\hat{c}\lambda(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda) + ac\kappa)}, \\ A &= \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)\hat{p}(t)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(t)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda) + ac\kappa)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda) + ac\kappa)}, \\ C &= \frac{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(u))(\hat{p}(t)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(t)\hat{p}(s)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda) + \hat{p}(t)a\hat{c}\kappa + ac\kappa)}{(a+\hat{a}\hat{p}(t))(\hat{p}(u)-\hat{p}(s))(\hat{p}(s)\hat{p}(u)\hat{a}\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(u)a\hat{c}\kappa + \hat{p}(s)a\hat{c}(\kappa-\lambda) + ac\kappa)}. \end{aligned}$$

where we denoted $\kappa = (1 + b\hat{b} + \hat{a}c)$, $\lambda = (a\hat{c} - \hat{a}c)$

As far as the other system of equations is concerned we have: $0 = D + E + B(b + \hat{b}\hat{p}(s)),\ 0 = D\hat{p}(s) + E\hat{p}(u) + B\hat{p}(s)(b + \hat{b}\hat{p}(u))$ which gives

$$D = -bB(s,t,u),\ E = -\hat{b}B(s,t,u)\hat{p}(s)$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4. The proof is based on several auxiliary results of which the most important is the following lemma: □

Lemma 4. *Let* $\mathbf{X}=(X_t)_{t\in I}$ *be a Markov process with orthogonal polynomial martingales* $\{p_n(x;t)\}_{n\geq -1}$ *and densities* $f(x,t)$ *and* $g(x,t,y,s)$ *of one dimensional and transitional distributions satisfying Condition 1. Then* $\mathbf{X}$ *being a harness is a quadratic harness iff the following system of equations is satisfied:*

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{-2,m-2}(t) &= Ar_{-2,m-2}(s)+Cr_{-2,m-2}(u)+Bv_{-1,m-2}(s)v_{-1,m-1}(u),\\
r_{-1,m-1}(t) &= Ar_{-1,m-1}(s)+Cr_{-1,m-1}(u)+B(v_{0,m-1}(s)v_{-1,m-1}(u)\\
&\quad +v_{-1,m-1}(s)v_{0,m}(u))+Dv_{-1,m-1}(s)+Ev_{-1,m-1}(u),\\
r_{0,m}(t) &= Ar_{0,m}(s)+Cr_{0,m}(u)+B(v_{-1,m-1}(u)v_{1,m}(s)\\
&\quad +v_{0,m}(u)v_{0,m}(s)+v_{1,m+1}(u)v_{-1,m}(s))+Dv_{0,m}(s)+Ev_{0,m}(u),\\
r_{1,m+1}(t) &= Ar_{1,m+1}(s)+Cr_{1,m+1}(u)+B(v_{0,m}(u)v_{1,m+1}(s)\\
&\quad +v_{1,m+1}(u)v_{0,m+1}(s))+Dv_{1.m+1}(s)+Ev_{1,m+1}(u),\\
r_{2,m+2}(t) &= Ar_{2,m+2}(s)+Cr_{2,m+2}(u)+Bv_{1,m+2}(s)v_{1,m+1}(u),
\end{aligned}$$

where A, B, C, D, E, *are defined by (4.9)-(4.12) and coefficients* $r_{i,j}$, $i=-2,\ldots,2$, $j=m-2,\ldots,m+2$ *are defined by (6.7)-(6.9).*

Proof of Lemma 4. We have :

$$\begin{gathered}
E(p_2(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u)}}\times\\
\sum_{j,k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_j(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_j(y,s)p_k(z;u)\int p_2(x;t)p_j(x;t)p_k(x;t)f(x,t)dx\\
=\frac{1}{\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u)}\times\\
\sum_{j,k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_j(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_j(y,s)p_k(z;u)\times
\end{gathered}$$

$$\int(r_{2,j+2}p_{j+2}+r_{1,j+1}(t)p_{j+1}+r_{0,j}(t)p_j+r_{-1,j-1}p_{j-1}+r_{-2,j-2}p_{j-2})p_k(x;t)f(x,t)dx.$$

Now notice that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j,k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_j(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_j(y,s)p_k(z;u)\\
&\times\int(r_{2,j+2}p_{j+2}+r_{1,j+1}(t)p_{j+1}+r_{0,n}(t)p_j+r_{-1,j-1}p_{j-1}+r_{-2,j-2}p_{j-2})p_k(x;t)f(x,t)dx\\
= \; &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{r_{2,k}(t)\hat{p}_k(t)}{\hat{p}_{k-2}(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_{k-2}(y;s)p_k(z;u)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{r_{1,k}(t)\hat{p}_k(t)}{\hat{p}_{k-1}(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_{k-1}(y;s)p_k(z;u)\\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}r_{0,k}(t)p_k(y,s)p_k(z;u)/\hat{p}_k(u)\\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{r_{-1,k}(t)\hat{p}_k(t)}{\hat{p}_{k+1}(t)\hat{p}_k(u)}p_{k+1}(y;s)p_k(z;u)\\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\hat{p}_k(t)r_{-2,k}(t)}{\hat{p}_{k+2}(t)}p_{k+2}(y,s)p_k(z;u)/\hat{p}_k(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (6.14) we get

$$E(p_2(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u)}}\times$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(r_{-2,k-2}(t)p_{k-2}(y;s)+r_{-1,k}(t)p_{k-1}(y;s)+r_{0,k}(t)p_k(y,s)\\
&\quad+r_{1,k+1}(t)p_{k+1}(y,s)+r_{2,k+2}(t)p_{k+2}(y,s))p_k(z;u)/\hat{p}_k(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Now let assume that $E(p_2(X_t;t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})=Ap_2(X_s;s)+Bp_1(X_s;s)p_1(X_u;u)+Cp_2(X_u;u)+Dp_1(X_s;s)+Ep_1(X_u;u)+F$. This implies the the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(r_{-2,k-2}(t)p_{k-2}(y;s)+r_{-1,k}(t)p_{k-1}(y;s)+r_{0,k}(t)p_k(y,s)\\
&\quad+r_{1,k+1}(t)p_{k+1}(y,s)+r_{2,k+2}(t)p_{k+2}(y,s))p_k(z;u)/\hat{p}_k(u)=
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&=(Ap_2(y;s)+Bp_1(y;s)p_1(z;u)+Cp_2(z;u)+Dp_1(y;s)+Ep_1(z;u)+F)\\
&\quad\times\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u)=-p(s)B\sum_{n\geq 0}p_n(z;u)p_n(y,s)/\hat{p}_n(u)\\
&+A\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{p_n(z,u)}{\hat{p}_n(u)}(r_{2,n+2}(s)p_{n+2}(y,s)+r_{1,n+1}(s)p_{n+1}(y,s)+r_{0,n}(s)p_n(y,s)\\
&\quad+r_{-1,n-1}(s)p_{n-1}(y,s)+r_{-2,n-1}(s)p_{n-2}(y,s))\\
&\quad+C\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{p_n(y,s)}{\hat{p}_n(u)}(r_{2,n+2}(u)p_{n+2}(z,u)+r_{1,n+1}(u)p_{n+1}(z,u)\\
&\quad+r_{0,n}(u)p_n(z,u)+r_{-1,n-1}(u)p_{n-1}(z,u)+r_{-2,n-1}(u)p_{n-2}(z,u))\\
&\quad+B\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_n(u)}(v_{1,n+1}(s)p_{n+1}(y,s)+v_{0,n}(s)p_n(y,s)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+v_{-1,n-1}(s)p_{n-1}(y;s))(v_{1,n+1}(u)p_{n+1}(z,u)+v_{0,n}(u)p_n(z,u)+v_{-1,n-1}(u)p_{n-1}(z;u))$$
$$+D\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{p_n(z,u)}{\hat{p}_n(u)}(v_{1,n+1}(s)p_{n+1}(y,s)+v_{0,n}(s)p_n(y,s)+v_{-1,n-1}(s)p_{n-1}(y;s))$$
$$+E\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{p_n(y,s)}{\hat{p}_n(u)}(v_{1,n+1}(u)p_{n+1}(z,u)+v_{0,n}(u)p_n(z,u)+v_{-1,n-1}(u)p_{n-1}(z;u))$$

Now let us multiply both sides of this equality by $p_m(z;u)$ and integrate with respect to $f(z,u)dz$. We get:

$$\begin{gathered}(r_{-2,m-2}(t)p_{m-2}(y;s)+r_{-1,m}(t)p_{m-1}(y;s)+r_{0,m}(t)p_m(y,s)\\ +r_{1,m+1}(t)p_{m+1}(y,s)+r_{2,m+2}(t)p_{m+2}(y,s))\\ =-\hat{p}(s)Bp_m(y,s)+A(r_{2,m+2}(s)p_{m+2}(y,s)+r_{1,m+1}(s)p_{m+1}(y,s)\\ +r_{0,m}(s)p_m(y,s)+r_{-1,m-1}(s)p_{m-1}(y,s)+r_{-2,m-1}(s)p_{m-2}(y,s))\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}+C(\frac{p_{m-2}(y,s)}{\hat{p}_{m-2}(u)}r_{2,m}(u)\hat{p}_m\,(u)+\frac{p_{m-1}(y,s)}{\hat{p}_{m-1}(u)}r_{1,m}(u)\hat{p}_m(u)+p_m(y;s)r_{0,m}\\ +\frac{p_{m+1}(y,s)}{\hat{p}_{m+1}(u)}r_{-1,m-1}(u)\hat{p}_{m-1}(u)+\frac{p_{m+2}(y,s)}{\hat{p}_{m+2}(u)}r_{-2,m-2}(u)\hat{p}_{m-2}(u))\\ +D(v_{1,m+1}(s)p_{m+1}(y,s)+v_{0,m}(s)p_m(y,s)+v_{-1,m-1}(s)p_{m-1}(y;s))\\ +E(\frac{p_{m-1}(y,s)}{\hat{p}_{m-1}(u)}v_{1,m}(u)\hat{p}_m(u)+v_{0,m}(u)p_m(y,s)+\frac{p_{m+1}(y,s)}{\hat{p}_{m+1}(u)}v_{-1,m-1}(u)\hat{p}_{m-1}(u))\\ +B(\frac{v_{1,m}(u)\hat{p}_m(u)}{\hat{p}_{m-1}(u)}(v_{1,m}(s)p_m(y;s)+v_{0,m-1}(s)p_{m-1}(y;s)+v_{-1,m-2}(s)p_{m-2}(y;s))\\ +v_{0,m}(u)((v_{1,m+1}(s)p_{m+1}(y,s)+v_{0,m}(s)p_m(y,s)+v_{-1,m-1}(s)p_{m-1}(y;s))\\ +\frac{v_{-1,m}(u)\hat{p}_m(u)}{\hat{p}_{m+1}(u)}(v_{1,m+2}(s)p_{m+2}(y,s)+v_{0,m+1}(s)p_{m+1}(y;s)+v_{-1,m}(s)p_m(y;s)).\end{gathered}$$

Taking into account (6.14) and uniqueness of expansion in orthogonal polynomials we get the following equations: $r_{-2,n-2}(t)\hat{p}_{n-2}(t)=r_{2,n}(t)\hat{p}_n(t),\ r_{-1,n-1}(t)\hat{p}_{n-1}(t)=r_{1,n-1}(t)\hat{p}_{n-1}(t),\ v_{-1,n-1}(t)\hat{p}_{n-1}(t)=v_{1,n}(t)\hat{p}_n(t)$.

Now to solve system of equations given in Lemma 4 we need several following technical results. □

Proposition 5. *Coefficients* r *and* v *are related to one another by the following formulae:*

$$\begin{aligned}r_{2,n+2}(t)&=\frac{\alpha_1(t)}{\alpha_2(t)}v_{1,n+1}(t)v_{1,n+2}(t),\ r_{1,n+1}(t)=v_{1,n+1}(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(v_{0,n+1}+v_{0,n})-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_0}{\alpha_2}),\\ r_{0,n}(t)&=(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(v_{1,n+1}v_{-1,n}+v_{0,n}v_{0,n}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{1,n})-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_0}{\alpha_2}v_{0,n}-\frac{\gamma_0}{\alpha_2}),\\ r_{-1,n-1}(t)&=\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}v_{-1,n-1}(v_{0,n}+v_{0,n-1})-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_0}{\alpha_2}v_{-1,n-1},\ r_{-2,n-2}(t)=\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}v_{-1,n-1}v_{-1,n-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Besides we have:

(6.14)

$$r_{-2,n-2}(t)\hat{p}_{n-2}(t)=r_{2,n}(t)\hat{p}_n(t),\ r_{-1,n-1}(t)\hat{p}_{n-1}(t)=r_{1,n-1}(t)\hat{p}_{n-1}(t),\ v_{-1,n-1}(t)\hat{p}_{n-1}(t)=v_{1,n}(t)\hat{p}_n(t).$$

Coefficients v are related to coefficients of the 3 term recurrence by formulae:

$$v_{-1,n-1}(t)=\frac{\gamma_{n-1}(t)}{\alpha_1(t)},\ v_{0,n}(t)=\frac{\beta_n(t)}{\alpha_1(t)},\ v_{1,n+1}(t)=\frac{\alpha_{n+1}(t)}{\alpha_1(t)}.$$

Proof. We have: $p_1^2p_n=p_1(v_{1,n+1}p_{n+1}+v_{0,n}p_n+v_{-1,n-1}p_{n-1})=v_{1,n+1}v_{1,n+2}p_{n+2}+v_{1,n+1}v_{0,n+1}p_{n+1}+v_{1,n+1}v_{-1,n}p_n+v_{0,n}v_{1,n+1}p_{n+1}+v_{0,n}v_{0,n}p_n+v_{0,n}v_{-1,n-1}p_{n-1}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{1,n}p_n+v_{-1,n-1}v_{0,n-1}p_{n-1}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{-1,n-2}p_{n-2}=v_{1,n+1}v_{1,n+1}p_{n+2}+(v_{1,n+1}v_{0,n+1}+v_{0,n}v_{1,n+1})p_{n+1}+(v_{1,n+1}v_{-1,n}+v_{0,n}v_{0,n}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{1,n})p_n+(v_{0,n}v_{-1,n-1}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{0,n-1})p_{n-1}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{-1,n-2}p_{n-2}=v_{1,n+1}v_{1,n+1}p_{n+2}+v_{1,n+1}(v_{0,n+1}+v_{0,n})+(v_{1,n+1}v_{-1,n}+v_{0,n}v_{0,n}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{1,n})p_n+v_{-1,n-1}(v_{0,n}+v_{0,n-1})p_{n-1}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{-1,n-2}p_{n-2}$. We dropped arguments to simplify calculations. Remembering that: $p_2=\frac{\alpha_1}{\sigma_2}p_1^2-\frac{(\beta_1-\beta_0)}{\alpha_2}p_1-\frac{\gamma_0}{\alpha_2}$ we have:

$p_2p_n=\frac{\alpha_1}{\sigma_2}(v_{1,n+1}v_{1,n+1}p_{n+2}+v_{1,n+1}(v_{0,n+1}+v_{0,n})+(v_{1,n+1}v_{-1,n}+v_{0,n}v_{0,n}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{1,n})p_n+v_{-1,n-1}(v_{0,n}+v_{0,n-1})p_{n-1}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{-1,n-2}p_{n-2})-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_0}{\alpha_2}(v_{1,n+1}p_{n+1}+v_{0,n}p_n+v_{-1,n-1}p_{n-1})-\frac{\gamma_0}{\alpha_2}p_n=p_{n+2}\frac{\alpha_1}{\sigma_2}v_{1,n+1}v_{1,n+1}+p_{n+1}(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}v_{1,n+1}(v_{0,n+1}+v_{0,n})-v_{1,n+1}\frac{\beta_1-\beta_0}{\alpha_2})+p_n(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(v_{1,n+1}v_{-1,n}+v_{0,n}v_{0,n}+v_{-1,n-1}v_{1,n})-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_0}{\alpha_2}v_{0,n}-\frac{\gamma_0}{\alpha_2})+p_{n-1}(\frac{\alpha_1}{\sigma_2}v_{-1,n-1}(v_{0,n}+v_{0,n-1})-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_0}{\alpha_2}v_{-1,n-1})+\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}v_{-1,n-1}v_{-1,n-2}p_{n-2}$. Comparing coefficients by p_{n+2}, p_{n+1}, p_n, p_{n-1}, and p_{n-2} we get our assertion. Now let us assume that we deal with harness that is following assertion of Theorem 4 we assume that:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\alpha_1(t)}{\alpha_2(t)} &= \frac{1}{a+\hat{a}p(t)},\ \frac{\gamma_0(t)}{\alpha_2(t)}=\frac{\frac{\gamma_0(t)}{\alpha_1(t)}}{\frac{\alpha_2(t)}{\alpha_1(t)}}=\frac{p(t)}{a+\hat{a}p(t)},\frac{\beta_1(t)-\beta_0(t)}{\alpha_2(t)}=\frac{\frac{\beta_1(t)-\beta_0(t)}{\alpha_1(t)}}{\frac{\alpha_2(t)}{\alpha_1(t)}}=\frac{b+\hat{b}p(t)}{a+\hat{a}p(t)},\\ v_{-1,n-1}(t) &= c_{n-1}+\hat{c}_{n-1}p(t),\ v_{0,n}(t)=b_n+\hat{b}_np(t),\ v_{1,n+1}(t)=a_{n+1}+\hat{a}_{n+1}p(t),\end{aligned}$$

with $c_0=c$, $\hat{c}_0=\hat{c}$, $b_1=b$, $\hat{b}_1=\hat{b}$, $a_1=a$, $\hat{a}_1=\hat{a}$. □

Proof. Now to prove Theorem 4 we combine equations from the assertion of Lemma 4, simplifications from Lemma 5 and formulae for coefficients A, B, C, D, E, F given by Lemma 2. We collect all expressions on one side of these equations and factor out (with the help of Mathematica). It turns out that this factorization is of a form : Certain expression involving sequences a_n, $\hat{a}_n$, b_n, $\hat{b}_n$, c_n and $\hat{c}_n$ times some expressions depending on t. Hence to satisfy our equations expressions in this factorization that do not depend on t must be set to zero. □

REFERENCES

[1] Bryc, W. , Wesołowski, J. (2005), Conditional Moments of q-Meixner Processes, *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **131**, 415-441

[2] Bożejko, Marek; Kümmerer, Burkhard; Speicher, Roland. q−-Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **185** (1997), no. 1, 129–154. MR1463036 (98h:81053)

[3] Bryc, Wlodzimierz. Stationary Markov chains with linear regressions. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **93** (2001), no. 2, 339–348. MR1828779 (2002d:60058)

[4] Bryc, Włodzimierz. Stationary random fields with linear regressions. *Ann. Probab.* **29** (2001), no. 1, 504–519. MR1825162 (2002d:60014)

[5] Bryc, W, Wesołowski, J. (2007), Bi - Poissson process, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, *Quantum Probability and Related Topics* **10** (2) , 277-291

[6] Bożejko, M. Bryc, W. (2006), On a Class of Free Levy Laws Related to a Regression Problem, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **236** , 59-77.

[7] Bryc, W. , Matysiak, W. , Wesołowski, J. , The Bi - Poisson process: a quadratic harness. *Annals of Probability* **36** (2) (2008), s. 623-646

[8] Wlodzimierz Bryc, Wojciech Matysiak, Jacek Wesolowski. Quadratic Harnesses, $q-$commutations, and orthogonal martingale polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), no. 11, 5449–5483

[9] Włodek Bryc, Jacek Wesołowski. Askey–Wilson polynomials, quadratic harnesses and martingales. IMS-AOP-AOP503. *Annals of Probability* 2010, Vol. **38**, No. 3, 1221-1262.

[10] Bryc, Włodzimierz, Wesołowski Jacek, Stitching pairs of Levy processes into harnesses, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 122(2012), str. 2854 - 2869

[11] Wlodzimierz Bryc, Wojciech Matysiak, Jacek Wesołowski, Free Quadratic Harness. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **121** (2011) 657–671.

[12] Hammersley, J. M. Harnesses. *1967 Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Mathematical Statistics and Probability* (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Vol. III: Physical Sciences pp. 89–117 Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif. MR0224144 (36 #7190)

[13] Koekoek R. , Swarttouw R. F. (1999) The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue, ArXiv:math/9602214

[14] Mansuy, Roger; Yor, Marc. Harnesses, Lévy bridges and Monsieur Jourdain. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 115 (2005), no. 2, 329–338. MR2111197 (2005m:60104)

[15] Szabłowski, Paweł J. Expansions of one density via polynomials orthogonal with respect to the other. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **383** (2011), no. 1, 35–54. MR2812716, http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1492

[16] Szabłowski, Paweł J. $q-$Wiener and $(\alpha,q)-$ Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes. A generalization of known processes, in print in *Theory of Probability and Its Applications,* 2011,http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0507303

[17] Szabłowski, Paweł J. On the structure and probabilistic interpretation of Askey-Wilson densities and polynomials with complex parameters. *J. Funct. Anal.* **261** (2011), no. 3, 635–659. MR2799574, http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1541

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,, Warsaw University of Technology, ul Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail address: `pawel.szablowski@gmail.com`